IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS **EASTERN DIVISION**

JOSE ANDREU,)
Plaintiff,)
) Case No. 07 C 06132
v.)
) Judge Der-Yeghiayan
UNITED PARCEL SERVICE, INC.,)
)
Defendant.)

APPENDIX OF EXHIBITS TO **DEFENDANT UNITED PARCEL SERVICE'S RULE 56.1 STATEMENT OF UNCONTESTED MATERIAL FACTS**

(Part 2)

Bast Deposition Excerpts

Snyder Deposition Excerpts (Dep. Ex. 4)

Ziltz Deposition Excerpts

Notice of Removal

Defendant United Parcel Service's Memorandum of Clarification of UPS's Principal Place of Business

DATED: January 7, 2008 UNITED PARCEL SERVICE, INC.

> /s/ D. Scott Watson By: __ One of Its Attorneys

John A. Klages, #06196781 D. Scott Watson, #06230488 Gary R. Clark, #06271092 Quarles & Brady LLP 500 West Madison Street, Suite 3700 Chicago, IL 60661-2511

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned attorney certifies that on January 7, 2008, a copy of the foregoing document was filed electronically. Notice of this filing will be sent to the following parties by operation of the Court's electronic filing system. Parties may access this filing through the Court's system.

Timothy J. Coffey
The Coffey Law Office, P.C.
1403 East Forest Avenue
Wheaton, Illinois 60187
Email: tcofflaw@sbcglobal.net

/s/ D. Scott Watson

BAST DEPOSITION EXCERPTS

Page 1

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

JOSE ANDREU,)					
Plaintiff,)))					
-vs-))	No.	07	C.	0473	3
UNITED PARCEL SERVICE, INC.,)					
Defendant.) }					

The deposition of CHERYL BAST, called by the Plaintiff, for examination, taken pursuant to notice and pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure for the United States District Courts pertaining to the taking of depositions, taken before Tamara Manganiello, Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public, at Suite 850, 29 South LaSalle Street, Chicago, Illinois, on the 26th day of July, A.D., 2007, commencing at 8:41 a.m.

Case 1:07-cv-06132	F	Filed 01/07/2008 Page 5 of 39
 A. I'm not sure what you mean.		
	1	BY MR. COFFEY:
Q. Okay. There comes a time during every	2	Q. Showing you what is marked as
day I'm certain that either a driver needs help from another driver or there are these additional	3	Exhibit 1, is this Exhibit 1 what you're referring
	4	to?
pick-ups during the day that you're going to have to		A. Yes.
take one driver off his or her assigned route	: 6	Q. So this is a document you reviewed
A. Right.	7 	recently?
Q and get them over to a different	8	A. Yes.
spot. A. Uh-huh.	9	Q. When did you review it?
•	10	A. Tuesday.
Q. Do you have or does anybody give you a	11	Q. Why did you review it?
list or anything that you can rely on to say, well,	12	A. Just to refresh my memory.
this driver has some flexibility or has a lighter	13	Q. Any other documents that you reviewed
load today, he might be able to help?	14	for the deposition here today?
A. No. Usually, I will just — I'll ask	15	A. No.
a driver that's close in the area can you do such	16	Q. Did you have any discussions prior to
and such, they'll tell me yes or no, and then, you	17	coming here today about Mr. Andreu, this particular
know, I'll go from there.	18	document, Exhibit No. 1
Q. Okay. And what if the driver says no?	1.9	A. No.
A. Then I'll try another driver. And if	20	Q to prepare for the deposition?
I can't get anybody to do it, then I'll call my	21	A. Uh-uh.
supervisor.	22	Q. Did you talk to Mr. Ziltz at all to
Q. And who is your supervisor?	23	prepare for the deposition?
A. Well, there's three full-time	24	A. No.
Page 1	4 ;	Page 16
supervisors and then my center manager.	1	Q. When was the last time you talked to
Q. Who's the three full-time supervisors?	2	Mr. Ziltz about Mr. Andreu and/or the events of
A. Right now, Dave Ziltz, Jerry Howard	3	February 9th?
and Julio Robles.	4	A. This morning.
Q. Jerry Howard?	5	Q. Okay. And who was present?
A. Uh-huh.	6	A. Our attorney.
Q. What about back in '05?	7	Q. What about prior to this morning when
A. Dave Ziltz, Melissa Del Dotto. I	8	your attorney was not present?
don't remember who else was there then.	9	A. No, I didn't talk to him about it.
Q. Okay. Dave Ziltz was a full-time	10	Q. Okay. You were involved in an
supervisor back in '05 and he is presently?	11	unemployment hearing over the phone probably in the
A. Yes.	12	early part of '06. Do you recall that?
Q. Did you do anything to prepare for	13	A. Yes.
today's deposition?	14	Q. And you gave some testimony at that
A. No.	15	time over the phone, correct?
Q. Did you review any documents?	16	A. Uh-huh.
A. Just my write-up that I had written on	17	Q. Did you have any discussions with
February 9th.	18	Mr. Ziltz before or after that testimony about the
MR. COFFEY: We'll mark this as	19	events of February 9th?
TO 4 14 14 N. N. T		

MR. COFFEY: We'll mark this as Exhibit No. 1. (Document marked as Bast Exhibit No. 1 for identification, 07/26/07.) Page 15

events of February 9th? A. I did not.

MR. WATSON: If I could, you need to give your answers either yes or no. An uh-uh is really hard on the court reporter. And I apologize for interrupting.

Page 17

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Bernina.

saying that we needed to cover the pick-up at

call or of somebody at Bernina?

Would that have been a supervisor's

A. No, I was not aware of it.
 Q. Did you -- no information from
 Mr. Ziltz or Mr. Snyder that we had an injured

suffering pain from that injury?

Page 25

Page 23

21

	Case 1:07-cv-06132	F	Filed 01/07/2008 Page 7 of 39
١,	A T Jan 14 Jan 14 + CO and 1	. ,	Above the second
1	A. I don't know it offhand.	1	that time have pick-ups, as well. And I do remember
2	Q. How about Coveny?	2	looking at the clock and it was about 4:10.
3	A. I don't know it offhand.	. 3	Q. Other than we see as Exhibit No. 1,
4	Q. Okay. Did you talk to, text,	4	did you make any notes of any of this, any of the
5	communicate to either of these drivers that day	5	times that we're going to be discussing here, any of
6	about making this pick-up?	6	the times you've already discussed?
7	A. No.	: 7	A. No. This is it.
8	Q. Any reason why not?	8	Q. So you text messaged and Mr. Andreu
9	A. Because after I talked to Jose, I	9	then calls back. And this is a telephone call?
10	called Dave and Dave said he doesn't have any	10	A. Yes.
11	pick-ups, tell him he needs to go over there.	11	Q. And you get this at your office
12	Q. Okay.	12	telephone?
13	A. The other drivers have pick-ups.	13	A. Yes.
14	Q. And this is Dave Ziltz?	14	Q. Where do you receive this at?
15	A. Yes.	15	A. Yes. The office phone.
16	Q. When you're talking to Dave - well,	16	Q. What number is that office phone?
17	we'll get into your conversation with Dave Ziltz a	17	A. There is multiple lines in there.
18	little bit more.	18	Whatever line is free, it will just go to the next
19	So you say shortly before 4:00 you	19	line.
20	get notified that a pick-up needs to be made at	20	Q. If I wanted to call that number back
21	Bernina?	21	on February 9th, '05, what number would I dial?
22	A. Yes.	22	A. Well, the drivers have an 800 number
23	Q. Is that Bernina?	23	to call. I don't know if he called that 800 number,
24	A. Bernina, B-E-R-N-I-N-A.	24	which would, you know, still go into the office or
	Page 30	ļ	Page 32
1	Q. Okay. How do you know shortly before	1	if he — I don't know. I don't know what number he
2	4:00?	2	called. There's multiple lines.
3	A. Because I remember I had talked to	3	Q. Are there more than two numbers that
4	Jose around 4:00 o'clock, so I had to be notified	4	can possibly be called?
5	that the pick-up needed to be covered in order to	5	A. Uh-huh.
6	send him a message.	6	Q. Okay. So you get a call from him.
7	Q. When you say you talked to Jose, this	. 7	What is said and by who?
8	is when he calls you back?	. / : 8	A. When I answer the phone, you know, I
9	A. Correct.	9	told him I need him to go to Bernina. He's like, I
10	Q. You say it's around 4:00 o'clock?	10	
11	A. Yes.	111	can't go to Bernina, I have too many stops left, I'm not going to get done until about 9:00 o'clock
12	Q. What range are we looking at in your	12	tonight. And I said okay, well, you know, we really
13	memory?	13	
14	A. Maybe five to 4:00, five after 4:00.	13	need help there, are you sure you can't go there? No, I can't, I have too many stops. And I said
15	Between that time.	15	
16			okay. And then at that point, you know, I tried to
17	Q. So maybe 3:55 to 4:05? A. Yes.	16	think, well, who can go there now. And then
18		17	MR. WATSON: You were asked about the
19	•	. 18 ! 10	conversation. Answer the question, please.
20	A. Because that's what time it was.	19	BY MR. COFFEY:
21	Q. Did you write this A. I do remember it was I remember	20	Q. Anything else said in the conversation
22		21	with Mr. Andreu?
	looking at the clock at about ten after because I	22	A. No. I just asked him if he can go
23 24	was thinking who am I going to get to cover this,	23	there. He said he couldn't.
24	because I know the other drivers in that area at	24	Q. Well, he said more than that, right?
	Page 31)	Page 33

	Case	1:07-cv-06132	Document 19	F	iled 01	/07/2008	Page 8 of 39
1	Α.	Well, he said he had	60 stone left and	1	Q.	Do viou have er	ny documents, notes,
2		In't be able to go there.		2			you refresh your
3						_	me that call was?
	Q. a number	Did he say too many	or did ne give you	3			me that call was?
4				4	A.	No, I don't.	
5	A.	He said 60 stops.		. 5	Q.		said in your telephone
6	Q.	In the phone convers		6		ation with Mr. Zi	
7	Α,	Yes. Because I told	V	i 7	A.		t a message to Andreu
8		u have 60 stops left, y	ou can do 20 an hour	' 8			o - you know, he called me
9	-	l be done by 7:00.		9			tops left, that he wouldn't
10	Q.	Anything else in the	phone conversation	10		=	nina and he told me he
11		Andreu?		11	wouldn'		00 o'clock tonight.
12	A.	I believe that was it.		12		And he said to	ell him he needs to
13	Q.	What is your next co	mmunication with	13	go to Be	rnina now, and t	hat was the end of our
14	either Mi	r. Andreu or Mr. Ziltz	or whoever it might	14	convers	ation. I said okay	<i>y.</i>
15	have been	n with concerning this	pick-up?	15	Q.	Okay. What di	d you do after that?
16	A.	I called Dave.		16	A,	I sent the messa	age to Jose saying I
17	Q.	Okay. Did you call o	or text Gorski,	17	need hir	n to go to Bernin	a right now.
18	Coveny?			18	Q.	And what kind	of message was this?
19	A.	No.		19	A.	That was a text	message.
20	Q.	Why not?		20	Q.	Through the DI	IAD?
21	A.	Because I knew they	wouldn't be able	21	À.	Yes.	
22	to get it b	ecause they have pick		22	Q.	Is there any rec	ord of this
23	time.	, 1	<u>.</u>	23	anywhei	-	
24	Q.	Okay. So you called	Dave Ziltz?	24	A.	No.	
			Page 34	- '			Page 36
1	A.	Yes.		1	Q.	as to what ti	me?
2	Q.	And is that on the pl	none?	2	A.	No.	inc:
3	Q. A.	Yes.	ione:	3	Q.		asked to see if you can
4	Q.	Where is Mr. Ziltz a	t at this point in	: 4			AD system or any other
5	the day?	Whole is wit. Zincz a	t at this point in	5			to verify what time your
6	A.	I believe he was in A	1,,,,,,,,,	. 6	-	No.	to verny what time your
7		What's he doing?	Autora.	; 0 7	A.		
8	Q. A.	_	ativa thama rusa a	!	Q.	second text i	message would have
1		If I remember correct	•	8	been?	3.7	
9		at was injured and he	•	9	Α.	No.	t.o.
10	Q.	So he's out on a rout	_	10	Q.	What time was	
11		s, correct?		111	A.	-	ably about between
12	Α.	I guess, yes.		12	-	after and 20 after	4:00.
13	Q.	Is that what he was	doing?	13	Q.	Probably?	
14	Α.	I believe so.		14	A.	I didn't look at	
15	Q.	Okay. And you call	ed him on what, his	15	Q.		ow what time it was?
16	cell phor			16	A.	Well, I know i	t was between 4:00 and
17	A.	Yes.		17	4:30.		
18	Q.	Is this a company-is	-	18	Q.	How?	
19	is it a pe	rsonal cell phone, if y	ou know?	19	À.		0 Dave called me and I
20	A.	I don't know.		20	rememb	er I looked at the	well, that was about
21	Q.	Okay. And what tin	ne was that call?	21		4:42 when Dave	
22	A.	Shortly after 4:00.		22	Q.	Was it 4:30 or	4:42?
23	Q.	Do you know what t	ime the call was?	23	À.	It was 4:42.	
24	A.	Not exactly.		24	Q.	How do you kr	now that?
					v.	11011 40 704 14	IOW MAE:
		-	Page 35	2-7	₹.	11011 00 900 10	Page 37

	Case	1:07-cv-06132	Document 19	F	iled 01/	07/2008	Page 9 of 39
1	A.	Because that I wrote dov	1/17	1	Q.	What about I	Mr. 7ilton
2	Q.	From looking at your me		2	Q. A.		led me back, he told me
3	Д. А.	No, I didn't. I didn't loo		3		net up with Jos	·
4		remember that.	K at it,	. 4	Q.		the tell you? Is this a
5	Q.	Well, you said 4:30, then	n von looked	5	-	nversation?	The ten you; is this a
6	•	nemo, then you said 4:42,	•	6.	A.		ne conversation.
7	A.	I didn't look at the memo		7	Q.	-	did he tell you?
8		first. But it was 4:42.	o. Davi dia	8	Q. А.	•	he has 20 stops left.
9	Q.	How did you make the n	nove from 4:30	9	Q.		ay about 20 or 20? What
10	to 4:42?	The state of the s		10	did he sa		ay accur 20 of 20: What
11	Α.	Because that's what time	it was. I do	11	A.	About 20.	
12		er when Dave called I loo		12	Q.	Did he say le	ss than 20?
13	it was at			13	A.	No. He said	
14	Q.	When did you write this	memo, Exhibit	14	Q.		as said in your phone
15.	No. 1?	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	,	15	-	tion then?	as suite in your priorio
16	A.	Shortly before 5:00.		16	A.		vell, you know, I said he
17	Q.	At the end of your day?		17			stops left. He's like, no,
18	À.	Yes.		18		out 20. And	-
19	Q.	Okay. Now, you text me	essage back to	19	Q.		you he had 60 stops left
20	-	reu on his route. What w	-	20	sometime		, j
21	the mess	age?		21	A		a half hour before that he
22	A.	I need you to go to Bern	ina now.	22			t 60 - you know, 40 stops off
23	Q.	Anything else?		23	in a half l	_	
24	A.	No.		24	Q.	Let's get back	k to the 60 stops. I
			Page 38			-	Page 40
i	Q.	And what is your next cor	nmunication	1	mean di	d Mr. Andres	u indicate to you that he had
2	with Mr.	-		2		the packages	· -
3		I don't remember what he	said. It was	3	A.		he had 60 stops left.
4		or request acknowledged		4	Q.		you he counted the
5		Did he say no again?		5	packages		you he counted are
6		No.		6	A.		he had 60 stops left.
7		How did he communicate	this to you?	7	Q.	-	t him are you sure, why
8	Ä.	Through the DIAD board.	-	8	•	-	ackages, take a look?
9	Q.	So you received a text me		9	A.	_	igured he would know how
10	then?	-	- ·	10		ops he has lef	
11	A.	Yes.		11	O.	_	ltz's comment and statement
12	Q.	Are you guessing or is this	s a fact?	12	•	as, no, he has	
13	-	I know I received a messa		13	A.	Yes.	
14		always make my messages		14	Q.		. Ziltz tell you he counted
15		Okay. But you're just not	7 7	15	the pack		•
16	what it w	as?	ŀ	16	A.	_	d he went through his
17	A.	It was request acknowledg	ged and	17	truck and		it 20 stops left.
18	accepted	or yes.	İ	18	Q.		, went through the truck
19	Q.	So he didn't give you any	other	19	À.	Yeah.	•
20	stories at	that point? It was yes?	j	20	Q.	is differer	nt than I counted the
21	A.	Correct.	ı	21	-	s, correct?	
22	Q.	Okay. What is your next of	communication	22	A.	(Witness no	dding.)
23	with Mr.	Andreu?	;	23	Q.		now as you sit here right
2-7							
24	A.	That would be it.		24	-	•	ted the packages or not?

			
1	when he left?	1	WITNESS ERRATA SHEET Page #1
2	Å. No.	2	JOSE ANDREU,
3	Q. Do you have any idea how many he	3)
4	delivered during that day, how many stops he made?	4	Plaintiff,)
5			-vs-) No. 07 C 0473
6	Q. Nobody ever asked you to look into	5	UNITED PARCEL SERVICE, INC.,
7	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	6) Defendant.)
8	A. No.	7	•
9	MR. COFFEY: I don't have anything	8	I wish to make the following changes for the following reasons:
10	· -	; ÿ	Page Line
11		10	Change: Reason:
12		11	Change:
13		12	Reason:
14	(waster Instantial)	13	Change: Reason:
15	•	14	Change:
16		15	Reason:
17		16	Change:
18		17	Reason: Change:
19		; ! 18	Reason:
20			Change:
20		19 20	Reason:Change:
22			Reason:
23		21	Change:
24	•	22 23	Reason:
24			(Signed)
	Page 70	-	Page 72
1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	1	STATE OF ILLINOIS)
2	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION) SS.
3	JOSE ANDREU,)	; 2	COUNTY OF WILL)
4) Plaintiff,)	3	
5) -vs-) No. 07 C 0473	4	I, Tamara Manganiello, a notary public
6	UNITED PARCEL SERVICE, INC.,	5	within and for the County of Will and State of
0) ·	6	Illinois, do hereby certify that heretofore, to-wit,
8	Defendant.)	7	on the 26th day of July, A.D., 2007, personally
	I hereby certify that I have read	8	appeared before me at Suite 850, 29 South LaSalle
9	the foregoing transcript of my deposition given on July 26th, 2007, at the time and place aforesaid,	9	Street, in the City of Chicago, County of Cook and
10	consisting of Pages 1 through 70, inclusive, and I do again subscribe and make an oath that the same is	10	State of Illinois, CHERYL BAST, a witness, called by
11	a true, correct and complete transcript of my	11	the Plaintiff in a certain cause now pending and
12	deposition so given as aforesaid.	12	undetermined, wherein JOSE ANDREU is the plaintiff
13	please check one:	13	and UNITED PARCEL SERVICE, INC., is the defendant.
1	l have submitted errata sheet(s)	14	I further certify that the said
14	No corrections were noted	15	witness, CHERYL BAST, was by me first duly sworn to testify the truth, the whole truth and nothing but
16	CHERYL BAST	17	
17	CHECK I DESIGN	18	the truth in the cause aforesaid; that the testimony
18	SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO	19	then given by her was by me reduced to writing by
19	before me this day	20	means of shorthand in the presence of said witness
20	of, A.D., 2007.	20	and afterwards transcribed upon a computer, and the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the
21	Notary Public	22	testimony so given by her as aforesaid.
22	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	23	I further certify that the reading and
23 24		24	signing of said deposition was reserved by the
L	Page 71		Page 73

SNYDER DEPOSITION EXCERPTS (DEP. EX. 4)

Page 1

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

EASTERN DIVISION

JOSE ANDREU,

Plaintiff,

vs.

No. 07 C 0473

UNITED PARCEL SERVICE, INC.)

Defendant.

The deposition of KERRY SNYDER called by the Plaintiff for examination pursuant to notice and pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure for the United States District Courts pertaining to the taking of depositions, taken before Denise Andras, Certified Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public within and for the County of Cook and State of Illinois at 29 South LaSalle, Illinois, on the 11th day of July, A. D., 2007.

	Case 1.07-cv-00132 Document is	;	Filed 01/07/2006 Fage 14 01 39
	Page 110	1	Page 112
1	making?	1	wrote to Dave Ziltz?
2	A. I really don't remember. I mean, I	2	A. Yes.
3	don't know if they contacted me a couple months ago	3	Q. And you reviewed this how long ago?
4	or something and said that we were going to be, you	4	A. I don't know. Probably yesterday I
5	know, having to give depositions or, you know, I	5	believe.
6	really don't remember when that came about.	6	Q. Before yesterday when was the time
7	Q. How did you become aware that that was	7	before that that you had seen this document?
8	a claim that he was making, Mr. Andreu was making?	8	A. The time before I had seen the
9	A. I believe I received an e-mail stating	9	document was when it was, the date when it was
10	that they were requesting any documentation filed	10	generated.
11	with regard to this matter.	11	Q. Well, it bears a date of February 9,
12	Q. And who was that e-mail from?	12	2005. You looked at it yesterday and you are
13	A. I believe Marilynn Richie, HR.	13	telling me you haven't looked at it since February
14	Q. And this was just recently, last	14	9, 2005?
15	couple of months?	15	A. I don't know that there are any times
16	A. I believe so, yes.	16	in between there. I don't remember those.
17	Q. And prior to that, did you have any	17	Q. Let's just get it straight. You
18	involvement after his termination, and let's just	18	looked at it yesterday, correct?
19	say the termination after the last day he worked	19	A. Yes.
20	there, which was early March of '05, did you have	20	Q. And you looked at it you believe on
21	any involvement in investigating the facts and	21	February 9, 2005?
22	circumstances around why he was removed, terminated?	22	A. Yes.
23	A. No.	23	Q. And you are not sure if you looked at
24	Q. Since you have become aware or were	24	it at any time in between those two dates?
	Page 111		Page 113
_		_	
1	made aware that he at least is claiming the	1	A. Correct.
2	termination was because of his worker's comp	2	Q. Now, yesterday where did you look at
3	benefits and that he sought them, have you done any	•	it?
4	investigation into that claim?	4	MR. WATSON: He can answer where.
5 6	A. No.	5	This is with me, but again, don't reveal
7	Q. Have you relooked at any documents	6	about any conversations that we discussed.
8	concerning you putting him on notice of termination	7	BY MR. COFFEY:
9	or the allegations that were made against him that he was dishonest?	8	Q. So yesterday you were at your
10	A. Yes.	9	attorney's office and you looked at the document; is
11	1-1	10 11	that correct?
12	Q. What have you done? MR. WATSON: Just so it's clear, this	12	A. Yes.
13	doesn't include conversations that you've had	;	MR. WATSON: I'm just going to
14	with me, your counsel.	13	indicate, you weren't at my office.
15	THE WITNESS: Okay.	15	THE WITNESS: Correct. BY MR. COFFEY:
16	BY THE WITNESS: Okay.	16	·
17		ì	Q. How did you receive this document
18	A. Looked at a document that Cheryl Bast had written to Daye Ziltz.	17 18	yesterday that you were able to look at it?
19			A. How did I receive the document
20	(Document marked as Exhibit No. 4 for	19 20	yesterday to look at it?
21	identification.)	20	Q. Yes.
22	BY MR. COFFEY:	22	A. I'm trying to think. I'm trying to
23	Q. Showing you Exhibit No. 4, is this the	23	remember if I actually, if he had actually given it
24	document that you are referring to that Cheryl Bast	24	to me or if I had a copy of the document. I believe I had a copy of the document, yes.
	accument that you are referring to that Cheryi Bast	2 T	r had a copy of the document, yes.

	OddC 1.07 CV 00102 Document 13	<u> </u>	- 1 lied 0 1/07/2000 1 age 10 01 00
	Page 182		Page 184
1	A. Yes.	1	deliveries?
2	Q. Per the DIAD, whatever is in the DIAD?	2	A. No.
3	A. Any driver assigned to your center,	3	Q. Any information did you ever go and
4	yes.	4	get any information on what he was doing in terms of
5	Q. And the DIAD has information about the	5	delivering packages on February 9, 2005 from the
6	time of every pick-up and every delivery?	6	DIAD board?
7	A. Yes.	7	A. No.
8	Q. And the number of packages, correct?	8	Q. Do you know if anybody did?
9	A. Yes.	و!	A. No, I don't know.
10	Q. At any particular time during the day?	10	Q. Did you ever ask anybody to get that
11	A. It has information that was recorded	,11	information?
12	by the driver at that particular time increment,	12	A. No, I don't remember.
13	when they made a delivery, how many packages they	13	Q. You don't remember if you asked
14	delivered or when they made a pickup, how many	14	anybody?
1.5	packages they picked up.	15	A. Don't remember if I asked anybody.
16	Q. What about when the driver drives out	16	Q. Prior to putting him on notice of
17	of the building in the morning, in terms of how many	17	termination, on February 10, 2005, was it a concern
18	packages that driver has on the truck, where can you	18	to you what his DIAD board showed for the previous
19	access and get ahold of that information?	19	day?
20	A. Well, you can do that as of now with	20	A. I'm sorry, could you restate the
21	the technology we have now. In 2005 at this time	21	question?
22	frame, no, you couldn't.	22	Q. You agree with me that there is an
23	Q. So when you were Aurora center	23	allegation that he was dishonest on February 9,
24	manager, your various drivers are leaving the	24	2005, right?
	Page 183		Page 185
1	building, they could have one package, they could	1	A. Yes.
2	have a hundred packages, you don't know and there's	2	Q. And you become aware of that when?
3	no way for you to find out?	3	A. On February 9th.
4	A. That's correct.	4	Q. And the next day you put him on notice
5	Q. But you can tell how many packages	5	of termination, correct?
6	were delivered or picked up through the DIAD	6	A. Yes.
7	throughout the day, correct?	7	Q. At any point in time are you curious,
8	A. Yes.	8	concerned about what his DIAD board had shown
9	Q. What about when the trucks come in at	9	between those two points of time; you become aware,
10	night, is there anybody counting packages? Is there	10	you put him on notice of termination?
11	any way to determine what's left on the truck?	11	A. No.
12	A. Not on a regular basis.	12	Q. Why not?
13	Q. You'd have to go and physically count	13	A. Because I had eyewitness account from
14	it for them?	14	the on-road supervisor.
15	A. You do yes, you had to do a	15	Q. Mr. Ziltz?
16	physical count on them.	16	A. Yes.
17	Q. With respect to Mr. Andreu's	17	Q. Eyewitness accounts of what?
18	information from February 9, 2005, did you ever go	18	A. Packages that were in Jose Andreu's
19	to the DCS machine and request that information or	19	car.
20	obtain that information about his deliveries, his	20	Q. How long have you known Mr. Ziltz?
21	A. No.	21	A. January 2, 2005.
22	Q what he did that day?	22	Q. Had you ever worked with him before
	A. No.	23	that?
23			
23 24	Q. Or the timing of any particular	24	A. No.

1		-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1	Page 210	: †	Page 212
1 -	final incident," and your answer, No. 1, "Final	1	termination?
2	incident, Jose lied to full-time," is that right?	2	A. No, I had not.
3	"FT, full-time, to supervisor Dave Ziltz on	3	Q. What were you going to do with him?
4	2-9-05." That's it; that's the answer we are	, 4	A. Well, this was a serious issue that I
5	talking about in terms of Jose, correct?	5	felt could be resolved or addressed through the
6	A. Yes.	6	grievance procedures.
7		7	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
8			Q. How was it going to be resolved or
9	factor that played a part in your decision to put	8	addressed?
	him on notice of decision to put him on notice of		A. Just like some of the past cases that
r	termination on February 9th and then to terminate	10	employees who were put on cardinal infractions were
11	him on March 4, 2005; is there anything else that	11	put on notice of termination or terminated and
12	played into that decision?	12	brought back with a suspension or reduced charge.
13	A. No.	13	Q. Employees that admit to lying?
14	Q. It was, you lied to Dave Ziltz on	14	A. Randy Parker, an example.
15	February 9, 2005, correct?	15	Q. So the 15 days pass, you terminate him
16	A. Yes.	16	pursuant to the notice of termination, correct?
17	Q. What about you admitted lying to Dave	17	A. Correct.
18		18	Q. But the only incident is, as you say,
19	your decision?	19	was Jose lied to full-time supervisor Dave Ziltz on
20	A. I don't remember. I don't remember if	20	February 9, 2005, right?
21	it did or not.	21	A. Yes.
22	Q. In other words, if you take away the	22	Q. And you discharge him, correct?
23	admission part, is he still getting fired on March	23	A. Yes.
24	4, 2005?	24	Q. Who else was in attendance of this
	Page 211	†· • —	Page 213
1	A. Yes.	1	meeting on February 10, 2005?
2	Q. You are still firing him, right?	2	A. On-car supervisor Dave Ziltz and
3	A. Yes.	3	union representation was Pam Tredwell.
4	Q. When did you decide to fire him on	4	Q. What did Ms. Tredwell say in the
5	March 4, 2005?	5	
	•		meeting?
	A After the Landay grace berind that	1	meeting? A I don't remember exactly what she
6	A. After the 15-day grace period that	6	A. I don't remember exactly what she
6 7	they have, allowed to grieve the disciplinary	6	A. I don't remember exactly what she said.
6 7 8	they have, allowed to grieve the disciplinary action.	6 7 8	A. I don't remember exactly what she said. Q. Do you remember the meeting? Do you
6 7 8 9	they have, allowed to grieve the disciplinary action. Q. So 15 days would be from the 10th of	6 7 8 9	A. I don't remember exactly what she said. Q. Do you remember the meeting? Do you have a recollection of meeting?
6 7 8 9 10	they have, allowed to grieve the disciplinary action. Q. So 15 days would be from the 10th of February, right?	6 7 8 9	A. I don't remember exactly what she said. Q. Do you remember the meeting? Do you have a recollection of meeting? A. Yes, I remember the meeting. I don't
6 7 8 9 10 11	they have, allowed to grieve the disciplinary action. Q. So 15 days would be from the 10th of February, right? A. Correct.	6 7 8 9 10	A. I don't remember exactly what she said. Q. Do you remember the meeting? Do you have a recollection of meeting? A. Yes, I remember the meeting. I don't remember the specific conversations.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	they have, allowed to grieve the disciplinary action. Q. So 15 days would be from the 10th of February, right? A. Correct. Q. So the 25th of the February, correct?	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. I don't remember exactly what she said. Q. Do you remember the meeting? Do you have a recollection of meeting? A. Yes, I remember the meeting. I don't remember the specific conversations. Q. Where was the meeting at?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	they have, allowed to grieve the disciplinary action. Q. So 15 days would be from the 10th of February, right? A. Correct. Q. So the 25th of the February, correct? A. My understanding is it's 15 working	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. I don't remember exactly what she said. Q. Do you remember the meeting? Do you have a recollection of meeting? A. Yes, I remember the meeting. I don't remember the specific conversations. Q. Where was the meeting at? A. In my office.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	they have, allowed to grieve the disciplinary action. Q. So 15 days would be from the 10th of February, right? A. Correct. Q. So the 25th of the February, correct? A. My understanding is it's 15 working days is what we allowed him.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. I don't remember exactly what she said. Q. Do you remember the meeting? Do you have a recollection of meeting? A. Yes, I remember the meeting. I don't remember the specific conversations. Q. Where was the meeting at? A. In my office. Q. On February 10th?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	they have, allowed to grieve the disciplinary action. Q. So 15 days would be from the 10th of February, right? A. Correct. Q. So the 25th of the February, correct? A. My understanding is it's 15 working days is what we allowed him. Q. Doesn't the grievance procedure say 15	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. I don't remember exactly what she said. Q. Do you remember the meeting? Do you have a recollection of meeting? A. Yes, I remember the meeting. I don't remember the specific conversations. Q. Where was the meeting at? A. In my office. Q. On February 10th? A. Yes.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	they have, allowed to grieve the disciplinary action. Q. So 15 days would be from the 10th of February, right? A. Correct. Q. So the 25th of the February, correct? A. My understanding is it's 15 working days is what we allowed him. Q. Doesn't the grievance procedure say 15 calendar days?	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. I don't remember exactly what she said. Q. Do you remember the meeting? Do you have a recollection of meeting? A. Yes, I remember the meeting. I don't remember the specific conversations. Q. Where was the meeting at? A. In my office. Q. On February 10th? A. Yes. Q. What time of day?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	they have, allowed to grieve the disciplinary action. Q. So 15 days would be from the 10th of February, right? A. Correct. Q. So the 25th of the February, correct? A. My understanding is it's 15 working days is what we allowed him. Q. Doesn't the grievance procedure say 15 calendar days? A. It says 15 calendar days. I allowed	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. I don't remember exactly what she said. Q. Do you remember the meeting? Do you have a recollection of meeting? A. Yes, I remember the meeting. I don't remember the specific conversations. Q. Where was the meeting at? A. In my office. Q. On February 10th? A. Yes. Q. What time of day? A. Approximately 8:25, the driver's start
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	they have, allowed to grieve the disciplinary action. Q. So 15 days would be from the 10th of February, right? A. Correct. Q. So the 25th of the February, correct? A. My understanding is it's 15 working days is what we allowed him. Q. Doesn't the grievance procedure say 15 calendar days? A. It says 15 calendar days. I allowed him 15 working days.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. I don't remember exactly what she said. Q. Do you remember the meeting? Do you have a recollection of meeting? A. Yes, I remember the meeting. I don't remember the specific conversations. Q. Where was the meeting at? A. In my office. Q. On February 10th? A. Yes. Q. What time of day? A. Approximately 8:25, the driver's start time.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	they have, allowed to grieve the disciplinary action. Q. So 15 days would be from the 10th of February, right? A. Correct. Q. So the 25th of the February, correct? A. My understanding is it's 15 working days is what we allowed him. Q. Doesn't the grievance procedure say 15 calendar days? A. It says 15 calendar days. I allowed him 15 working days. Q. Was there some hesitation you are	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. I don't remember exactly what she said. Q. Do you remember the meeting? Do you have a recollection of meeting? A. Yes, I remember the meeting. I don't remember the specific conversations. Q. Where was the meeting at? A. In my office. Q. On February 10th? A. Yes. Q. What time of day? A. Approximately 8:25, the driver's start time. Q. So early, first thing in the morning?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	they have, allowed to grieve the disciplinary action. Q. So 15 days would be from the 10th of February, right? A. Correct. Q. So the 25th of the February, correct? A. My understanding is it's 15 working days is what we allowed him. Q. Doesn't the grievance procedure say 15 calendar days? A. It says 15 calendar days. I allowed him 15 working days. Q. Was there some hesitation you are telling me you didn't make this decision until after	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. I don't remember exactly what she said. Q. Do you remember the meeting? Do you have a recollection of meeting? A. Yes, I remember the meeting. I don't remember the specific conversations. Q. Where was the meeting at? A. In my office. Q. On February 10th? A. Yes. Q. What time of day? A. Approximately 8:25, the driver's start time. Q. So early, first thing in the morning? A. Yes.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	they have, allowed to grieve the disciplinary action. Q. So 15 days would be from the 10th of February, right? A. Correct. Q. So the 25th of the February, correct? A. My understanding is it's 15 working days is what we allowed him. Q. Doesn't the grievance procedure say 15 calendar days? A. It says 15 calendar days. I allowed him 15 working days. Q. Was there some hesitation you are telling me you didn't make this decision until after the 15 days past, whatever calendar, working days,	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. I don't remember exactly what she said. Q. Do you remember the meeting? Do you have a recollection of meeting? A. Yes, I remember the meeting. I don't remember the specific conversations. Q. Where was the meeting at? A. In my office. Q. On February 10th? A. Yes. Q. What time of day? A. Approximately 8:25, the driver's start time. Q. So early, first thing in the morning? A. Yes. Q. And you had gotten your information on
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	they have, allowed to grieve the disciplinary action. Q. So 15 days would be from the 10th of February, right? A. Correct. Q. So the 25th of the February, correct? A. My understanding is it's 15 working days is what we allowed him. Q. Doesn't the grievance procedure say 15 calendar days? A. It says 15 calendar days. I allowed him 15 working days. Q. Was there some hesitation you are telling me you didn't make this decision until after the 15 days past, whatever calendar, working days, you didn't decide you were going to fire him?	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. I don't remember exactly what she said. Q. Do you remember the meeting? Do you have a recollection of meeting? A. Yes, I remember the meeting. I don't remember the specific conversations. Q. Where was the meeting at? A. In my office. Q. On February 10th? A. Yes. Q. What time of day? A. Approximately 8:25, the driver's start time. Q. So early, first thing in the morning? A. Yes. Q. And you had gotten your information on February 9th?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 21 22 23	they have, allowed to grieve the disciplinary action. Q. So 15 days would be from the 10th of February, right? A. Correct. Q. So the 25th of the February, correct? A. My understanding is it's 15 working days is what we allowed him. Q. Doesn't the grievance procedure say 15 calendar days? A. It says 15 calendar days. I allowed him 15 working days. Q. Was there some hesitation you are telling me you didn't make this decision until after the 15 days past, whatever calendar, working days,	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. I don't remember exactly what she said. Q. Do you remember the meeting? Do you have a recollection of meeting? A. Yes, I remember the meeting. I don't remember the specific conversations. Q. Where was the meeting at? A. In my office. Q. On February 10th? A. Yes. Q. What time of day? A. Approximately 8:25, the driver's start time. Q. So early, first thing in the morning? A. Yes. Q. And you had gotten your information on

	Page 214		Page 216
7		i	•
1	happen on February 9th, correct?	1	A. Yes.
2	A. Yes.	2	Q. What did Mr. Ziltz say about how he
3	Q. So what do you do between being told	3	determined that there were less than 20 stops left?
4	there was a lie at the meeting at 8:25 in the	4	A. I don't remember.
5	morning, do you do any type of investigation into	5	Q. Did Mr. Ziltz at any time ever tell
6	the alleged lie?	6	you I counted each package, each stop in that truck,
7	A. I review the incident with Dave	7	and there were less than 20 or more than 20 or any
8	Ziltz.	8	particular number?
9	Q. And when did you do that?	9	A. I'm trying to remember the specifics
10	A. That same night.	10	on that. I mean, if I remember correctly, Dave
11	Q. Of the 9th?	11	Ziltz stated that he had counted like 13 or 15
12	A. Correct.	12	stops in the car.
13	Q. Who was present?	13	Q. Did he say he counted every stop in
14	A. I don't remember. I believe it was	14	the car or did he just say I counted 13 or 15 stops
15	just Dave and myself.	15	in the car?
16	Q. This is after Dave, he was out	16	A. He said that's all the stops that he
17	delivering packages that day, apparently this is	17	had left.
18	after he's bringing his truck back, and so it's in	18	Q. Did he tell you what time it was that
19	the evening?	19	he was counting these packages?
20	A. Yes.	20	A. I don't remember the exact time.
21	Q. Did you talk to him on the phone	21	Q. Do you remember if he told you a time
22	during the day at all, on the phone during the day	22	is the question?
23	about the incident?	23	A. Approximate time was like 4:20.
24	A. I don't remember specifically.	24	Q. Now you remember, you are remembering
	Page 215		Page 217
1	Q. But you recall a meeting where you are	1	this come out of Mr. Ziltz's mouth? You remember
2	getting your information from Dave Ziltz where he	2	that time coming out of his mouth?
3	comes back with his truck on the evening of February		A. No.
4	9th?	4	Q. You don't remember any time coming out
5	A. Yes.	5	of his mouth, do you?
6	Q. Where was that at?	6	A. No, I don't remember the time.
7	A. That was in my office.	7	Q. Because if you remember that, and you
8	Q. And you and Mr. Ziltz nobody else	8	can't remember Jose admitting to lying the next day?
	present?	9	MR. WATSON: I am going to object to
10	A. Yes, I don't remember anybody else.	10	the badgering, Counsel.
11	Q. What was said by Mr. Ziltz?	11	MR. COFFEY: Strike that.
12	A. He just recapped the incident.	12	BY MR. COFFEY:
13	Q. Do you remember anything specific or	13	Q. So you recall Dave Ziltz saying
14	have a specific recollection with anything	14	something about he counted some packages on the
15		115	truck; is that your recollection?
16	<u>-</u> .	16	A. Yes.
1.7	into Jose went out there and met up with Jose	17	MR. WATSON: Asked and answered.
18	Andreu and he had, like at that point in time, I	18	BY MR. COFFEY:
1.9	thought he said he had less than 20 stops left.	19	Q. What else was said?
20	Q. And you think that's what he said or	20	A. I don't remember.
21	that's what he said?	21	Q. What did you say?
22	A. I am not a hundred percent sure.	22	A. I can't recall a hundred percent what
		1	_
23	O. So you don't specifically remember but	/ *	I said at that time
23 24	Q. So you don't specifically remember but that's your belief?	23 24	I said at that time. Q. Did you ask any questions?

	Odac 1.07 CV 00102 Document 10	<u> </u>	Tiled 01/01/2000 Tage 10 01 03
	Page 218	!	Page 220
1	A. I can't recall the questions that I	1	morning?
2	asked, but, yes, we had a discussion.	2	A. Correct.
3	Q. Did you discuss the fact that this was	3	Q. So in the meeting on the 10th you make
4	the guy that was injured on January 24th or claimed	4	the decision to put him on notice of termination?
5	to have been injured on January 24th?	5	A. Correct.
6	A. No, not that I remember.	6	Q. Not before?
7	Q. Did you have that in your mind though	7	A. No, not before.
8	when it came up, hey, Jose Andreu, something going	8	Q. What else do you do prior to the
9	on with packages, this is the guy that was injured	9	meeting on the 10th to look into the situation,
10	on January 24th or thereabouts?	10	investigate the situation?
11	A. No.	11	A. I don't remember doing anything else.
12	Q. But you clearly knew that that was the	12	Q. During the day Ms. Bast had been in
13	case, right, that he had an injury or alleged injury	13	your office, and you had your exchange with her that
14	on January 24th, right?	14	we talked about, correct?
15	A. Yes.	15	A. Yes.
16	Q. And you knew that that had been	16	Q. Then at night Mr. Ziltz comes in and
17	reported to Liberty Mutual, that the training had	17	you have your talk with him that we've already
18	occurred?	18	talked about, correct?
19	MR. WATSON: Objection, asked and	19	A. Yes.
20	answered.	20	Q. What else, if anything, any other
21	BY MR. COFFEY:	21	discussion, any other information, that you have
22	Q. You had known that for a while,	22	prior to going into your meeting on February 10th in
23	correct?	23	the morning?
24	A. Yes.	24	A. I don't know if there's any other I
	Page 219		Page 221
1	Q. What else is said in your meeting with	1	
2	Mr. Ziltz on the evening of February 9th?	; 1 ; 2	don't remember any other information. Q. Did Mr. Ziltz tell you about
3	A. I don't remember.	3	communications let me back up.
4	Q. When do you make the decision that you	! 4	What was the lie? What did Ziltz
5	are going to have a meeting the following morning	5	tell you the lie was?
6	and put him on notice of termination?	6	A. I mean, I don't remember that
7	A. I believe it was at this meeting.	7	conversation specifically, the whole conversation
8	Q. When you are talking to Mr. Ziltz?	8	that night. I just know the circumstances that were
9	A. Yes.	9	leading up to it.
10	Q. The notice of termination, that's what	10	Q. So as you sit here, do you know what
11	you conclude, correct?	11	the lie was or allegedly was?
12	A. Not necessarily at that not	12	A. Yes, that Jose that Mr. Andreu had
13	necessarily like that.	13	responded that he had 60 stops left when the reality
14	Q. Okay, I don't want to put words in	14	was that he did not have 60 stops left.
15	your mouth. How did you conclude you said at	15	Q. And you must have known that on
16	this meeting you made your decision to put him on	16	February 10th going into the meeting, right?
17	notice of termination?	17	A. Based off of what Cheryl Bast and Dave
18	A. At this meeting Dave Ziltz presents	18	Ziltz had just informed me.
19	the facts. I've only got one side of the story, and	19	Q. Maybe we are missing a piece of
20	I don't have Jose Andreu's side of the story until	20	puzzle. What did Cheryl Bast tell you about the
21	we meet on the 10th.	21	lie, the alleged lie?
		I	
22	Q. Okay. So it's your testimony that you	22	A. I believe she came back into the
22 23	Q. Okay. So it's your testimony that you don't decide to put him on notice of termination	22 23	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			A. I believe she came back into the office later on and said that Dave had met up with him, and he didn't have 60 stops left and he was

		,	
	Page 254		Page 256
1	A. Yes.	1	offer as a, you know, either answering a prior
2	Q. All that?	2	question or giving me more information that would
3	A. Yes.	3	reflect on a prior answer that you've given; is
4	Q. And the time it has to be done by?	4	there anything else that you'd like to offer?
5	A. I am not sure if it has a time	5	A. Yes.
6	indicated in that, but there is the information on	6	Q. What's that?
7	selecting raters and setting up your QPR's.	7	A. I'm just I'm confident that I made
8	Q. Now, in this particular document, I	8	the right decision based off the facts presented,
9	don't see any Mr. Dunn is your boss during 2005	9	that Jose Andreu had indeed lied to Dave Ziltz or
10	and most of 2006, right?	10	lied to Cheryl Bast, and I'm confident that the
11	A. Yes.	11	course of action was correct. And I was confident
12	Q. Did he provide to you any written	12	as well that this is a very serious issue that could
13	comments about what he thought of your performance	13	have been addressed under the grievance process, and
14	in any of the criteria listed in Exhibits 10 or 11	14	had it been addressed under the grievance process
15	or any other criteria that he made up himself?	:	•
16	A. No.	15	properly by the union and the employee, it would
		16	have been resolved in a different outcome than this.
17	Q. No written comments from your boss?	17	Q. So your position is had there been a
18	A. No.	18	timely grievance filed, this 15-day period we talked
19	Q. Any written comments from any of the	19	about, you're confident it would have been
20	co-employees, either the ones listed in Exhibits 10	20	resolved say that again?
21	and 11 or any other co-employees?	21	A. I'm confident that it would have been
22	A. No.	22	resolved through the grievance process.
23	Q. Did you submit any written comments or	23	Q. How resolved?
24	rebuttals to any of the things that Mr. Dunn or the	24	A. Well, one, that the grievance process
	Page 255		Page 257
1	other raters had to say?	1	run its course of action; but, two, as I've seen it
1 2	other raters had to say? A. No.	1	run its course of action; but, two, as I've seen it historical (sic), that I've had other employees that
2	A. No.Q. Is that common? Is that allowed? Two	2	historical (sic), that I've had other employees that are on Notice of Termination that have been reduced
2 3	A. No.	2 3	historical (sic), that I've had other employees that are on Notice of Termination that have been reduced to suspensions and have returned to work.
2 3 4	A. No. Q. Is that common? Is that allowed? Two questions, I'm sorry. Is it standard? Have you	2 3 4	historical (sic), that I've had other employees that are on Notice of Termination that have been reduced to suspensions and have returned to work. Q. Do you believe that's what would have
2 3 4 5	A. No. Q. Is that common? Is that allowed? Two questions, I'm sorry. Is it standard? Have you ever done that before? A. No.	2 3 4 5	historical (sic), that I've had other employees that are on Notice of Termination that have been reduced to suspensions and have returned to work. Q. Do you believe that's what would have happened if this would have run its course and the
2 3 4 5 6	A. No. Q. Is that common? Is that allowed? Two questions, I'm sorry. Is it standard? Have you ever done that before?	2 3 4 5 6	historical (sic), that I've had other employees that are on Notice of Termination that have been reduced to suspensions and have returned to work. Q. Do you believe that's what would have happened if this would have run its course and the grievance would have been filed on time? Assuming
2 3 4 5 6 7	A. No. Q. Is that common? Is that allowed? Two questions, I'm sorry. Is it standard? Have you ever done that before? A. No. Q. Is it allowed to do that? A. I haven't seen I haven't seen	2 3 4 5 6 7	historical (sic), that I've had other employees that are on Notice of Termination that have been reduced to suspensions and have returned to work. Q. Do you believe that's what would have happened if this would have run its course and the grievance would have been filed on time? Assuming it wasn't, I don't know.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. No. Q. Is that common? Is that allowed? Two questions, I'm sorry. Is it standard? Have you ever done that before? A. No. Q. Is it allowed to do that? A. I haven't seen I haven't seen anybody or heard of anybody doing that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	historical (sic), that I've had other employees that are on Notice of Termination that have been reduced to suspensions and have returned to work. Q. Do you believe that's what would have happened if this would have run its course and the grievance would have been filed on time? Assuming it wasn't, I don't know. A. Yes, I do.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. No. Q. Is that common? Is that allowed? Two questions, I'm sorry. Is it standard? Have you ever done that before? A. No. Q. Is it allowed to do that? A. I haven't seen I haven't seen anybody or heard of anybody doing that. Q. In either of these two reviews, 10 or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	historical (sic), that I've had other employees that are on Notice of Termination that have been reduced to suspensions and have returned to work. Q. Do you believe that's what would have happened if this would have run its course and the grievance would have been filed on time? Assuming it wasn't, I don't know. A. Yes, I do. Q. What makes you think that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. No. Q. Is that common? Is that allowed? Two questions, I'm sorry. Is it standard? Have you ever done that before? A. No. Q. Is it allowed to do that? A. I haven't seen I haven't seen anybody or heard of anybody doing that. Q. In either of these two reviews, 10 or 11, you've read them fully, correct, maybe not today	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	historical (sic), that I've had other employees that are on Notice of Termination that have been reduced to suspensions and have returned to work. Q. Do you believe that's what would have happened if this would have run its course and the grievance would have been filed on time? Assuming it wasn't, I don't know. A. Yes, I do. Q. What makes you think that? A. Because I skipped the first couple
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. No. Q. Is that common? Is that allowed? Two questions, I'm sorry. Is it standard? Have you ever done that before? A. No. Q. Is it allowed to do that? A. I haven't seen I haven't seen anybody or heard of anybody doing that. Q. In either of these two reviews, 10 or 11, you've read them fully, correct, maybe not today but at the time that you read them, right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	historical (sic), that I've had other employees that are on Notice of Termination that have been reduced to suspensions and have returned to work. Q. Do you believe that's what would have happened if this would have run its course and the grievance would have been filed on time? Assuming it wasn't, I don't know. A. Yes, I do. Q. What makes you think that? A. Because I skipped the first couple steps of the disciplinary process and went to Notice
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. No. Q. Is that common? Is that allowed? Two questions, I'm sorry. Is it standard? Have you ever done that before? A. No. Q. Is it allowed to do that? A. I haven't seen I haven't seen anybody or heard of anybody doing that. Q. In either of these two reviews, 10 or 11, you've read them fully, correct, maybe not today but at the time that you read them, right? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	historical (sic), that I've had other employees that are on Notice of Termination that have been reduced to suspensions and have returned to work. Q. Do you believe that's what would have happened if this would have run its course and the grievance would have been filed on time? Assuming it wasn't, I don't know. A. Yes, I do. Q. What makes you think that? A. Because I skipped the first couple steps of the disciplinary process and went to Notice of Termination. Cardinal sin would be something you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. No. Q. Is that common? Is that allowed? Two questions, I'm sorry. Is it standard? Have you ever done that before? A. No. Q. Is it allowed to do that? A. I haven't seen I haven't seen anybody or heard of anybody doing that. Q. In either of these two reviews, 10 or 11, you've read them fully, correct, maybe not today but at the time that you read them, right? A. Yes. Q. Do you recall you taking issue or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	historical (sic), that I've had other employees that are on Notice of Termination that have been reduced to suspensions and have returned to work. Q. Do you believe that's what would have happened if this would have run its course and the grievance would have been filed on time? Assuming it wasn't, I don't know. A. Yes, I do. Q. What makes you think that? A. Because I skipped the first couple steps of the disciplinary process and went to Notice of Termination. Cardinal sin would be something you automatically terminate someone for. You don't put
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. No. Q. Is that common? Is that allowed? Two questions, I'm sorry. Is it standard? Have you ever done that before? A. No. Q. Is it allowed to do that? A. I haven't seen I haven't seen anybody or heard of anybody doing that. Q. In either of these two reviews, 10 or 11, you've read them fully, correct, maybe not today but at the time that you read them, right? A. Yes. Q. Do you recall you taking issue or having any conversations with anybody about	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	historical (sic), that I've had other employees that are on Notice of Termination that have been reduced to suspensions and have returned to work. Q. Do you believe that's what would have happened if this would have run its course and the grievance would have been filed on time? Assuming it wasn't, I don't know. A. Yes, I do. Q. What makes you think that? A. Because I skipped the first couple steps of the disciplinary process and went to Notice of Termination. Cardinal sin would be something you automatically terminate someone for. You don't put them on Notice of Termination. You are terminated.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. No. Q. Is that common? Is that allowed? Two questions, I'm sorry. Is it standard? Have you ever done that before? A. No. Q. Is it allowed to do that? A. I haven't seen I haven't seen anybody or heard of anybody doing that. Q. In either of these two reviews, 10 or 11, you've read them fully, correct, maybe not today but at the time that you read them, right? A. Yes. Q. Do you recall you taking issue or having any conversations with anybody about something that was about a rating you received	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	historical (sic), that I've had other employees that are on Notice of Termination that have been reduced to suspensions and have returned to work. Q. Do you believe that's what would have happened if this would have run its course and the grievance would have been filed on time? Assuming it wasn't, I don't know. A. Yes, I do. Q. What makes you think that? A. Because I skipped the first couple steps of the disciplinary process and went to Notice of Termination. Cardinal sin would be something you automatically terminate someone for. You don't put them on Notice of Termination. You are terminated. He failed that dishonesty clause which falls under
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. No. Q. Is that common? Is that allowed? Two questions, I'm sorry. Is it standard? Have you ever done that before? A. No. Q. Is it allowed to do that? A. I haven't seen I haven't seen anybody or heard of anybody doing that. Q. In either of these two reviews, 10 or 11, you've read them fully, correct, maybe not today but at the time that you read them, right? A. Yes. Q. Do you recall you taking issue or having any conversations with anybody about something that was about a rating you received that you had a question about or didn't like?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	historical (sic), that I've had other employees that are on Notice of Termination that have been reduced to suspensions and have returned to work. Q. Do you believe that's what would have happened if this would have run its course and the grievance would have been filed on time? Assuming it wasn't, I don't know. A. Yes, I do. Q. What makes you think that? A. Because I skipped the first couple steps of the disciplinary process and went to Notice of Termination. Cardinal sin would be something you automatically terminate someone for. You don't put them on Notice of Termination. You are terminated. He failed that dishonesty clause which falls under the class of a cardinal sin.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. No. Q. Is that common? Is that allowed? Two questions, I'm sorry. Is it standard? Have you ever done that before? A. No. Q. Is it allowed to do that? A. I haven't seen I haven't seen anybody or heard of anybody doing that. Q. In either of these two reviews, 10 or 11, you've read them fully, correct, maybe not today but at the time that you read them, right? A. Yes. Q. Do you recall you taking issue or having any conversations with anybody about something that was about a rating you received that you had a question about or didn't like? A. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	historical (sic), that I've had other employees that are on Notice of Termination that have been reduced to suspensions and have returned to work. Q. Do you believe that's what would have happened if this would have run its course and the grievance would have been filed on time? Assuming it wasn't, I don't know. A. Yes, I do. Q. What makes you think that? A. Because I skipped the first couple steps of the disciplinary process and went to Notice of Termination. Cardinal sin would be something you automatically terminate someone for. You don't put them on Notice of Termination. You are terminated. He failed that dishonesty clause which falls under the class of a cardinal sin. Q. Article 54?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. No. Q. Is that common? Is that allowed? Two questions, I'm sorry. Is it standard? Have you ever done that before? A. No. Q. Is it allowed to do that? A. I haven't seen I haven't seen anybody or heard of anybody doing that. Q. In either of these two reviews, 10 or 11, you've read them fully, correct, maybe not today but at the time that you read them, right? A. Yes. Q. Do you recall you taking issue or having any conversations with anybody about something that was about a rating you received that you had a question about or didn't like? A. No. Q. You were satisfied with these two?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	historical (sic), that I've had other employees that are on Notice of Termination that have been reduced to suspensions and have returned to work. Q. Do you believe that's what would have happened if this would have run its course and the grievance would have been filed on time? Assuming it wasn't, I don't know. A. Yes, I do. Q. What makes you think that? A. Because I skipped the first couple steps of the disciplinary process and went to Notice of Termination. Cardinal sin would be something you automatically terminate someone for. You don't put them on Notice of Termination. You are terminated. He failed that dishonesty clause which falls under the class of a cardinal sin. Q. Article 54? A. Right. So he should have been
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. No. Q. Is that common? Is that allowed? Two questions, I'm sorry. Is it standard? Have you ever done that before? A. No. Q. Is it allowed to do that? A. I haven't seen I haven't seen anybody or heard of anybody doing that. Q. In either of these two reviews, 10 or 11, you've read them fully, correct, maybe not today but at the time that you read them, right? A. Yes. Q. Do you recall you taking issue or having any conversations with anybody about something that was about a rating you received that you had a question about or didn't like? A. No. Q. You were satisfied with these two? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	historical (sic), that I've had other employees that are on Notice of Termination that have been reduced to suspensions and have returned to work. Q. Do you believe that's what would have happened if this would have run its course and the grievance would have been filed on time? Assuming it wasn't, I don't know. A. Yes, I do. Q. What makes you think that? A. Because I skipped the first couple steps of the disciplinary process and went to Notice of Termination. Cardinal sin would be something you automatically terminate someone for. You don't put them on Notice of Termination. You are terminated. He failed that dishonesty clause which falls under the class of a cardinal sin. Q. Article 54? A. Right. So he should have been terminated. I put him on Notice of Termination.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. No. Q. Is that common? Is that allowed? Two questions, I'm sorry. Is it standard? Have you ever done that before? A. No. Q. Is it allowed to do that? A. I haven't seen I haven't seen anybody or heard of anybody doing that. Q. In either of these two reviews, 10 or 11, you've read them fully, correct, maybe not today but at the time that you read them, right? A. Yes. Q. Do you recall you taking issue or having any conversations with anybody about something that was about a rating you received that you had a question about or didn't like? A. No. Q. You were satisfied with these two? A. Yes. Q. Is there anything, sir I know a lot	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	historical (sic), that I've had other employees that are on Notice of Termination that have been reduced to suspensions and have returned to work. Q. Do you believe that's what would have happened if this would have run its course and the grievance would have been filed on time? Assuming it wasn't, I don't know. A. Yes, I do. Q. What makes you think that? A. Because I skipped the first couple steps of the disciplinary process and went to Notice of Termination. Cardinal sin would be something you automatically terminate someone for. You don't put them on Notice of Termination. You are terminated. He failed that dishonesty clause which falls under the class of a cardinal sin. Q. Article 54? A. Right. So he should have been terminated. I put him on Notice of Termination. And had they grieved it, it would have came that I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. No. Q. Is that common? Is that allowed? Two questions, I'm sorry. Is it standard? Have you ever done that before? A. No. Q. Is it allowed to do that? A. I haven't seen I haven't seen anybody or heard of anybody doing that. Q. In either of these two reviews, 10 or 11, you've read them fully, correct, maybe not today but at the time that you read them, right? A. Yes. Q. Do you recall you taking issue or having any conversations with anybody about something that was about a rating you received that you had a question about or didn't like? A. No. Q. You were satisfied with these two? A. Yes. Q. Is there anything, sir I know a lot of this stuff we talked and was somewhat history	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	historical (sic), that I've had other employees that are on Notice of Termination that have been reduced to suspensions and have returned to work. Q. Do you believe that's what would have happened if this would have run its course and the grievance would have been filed on time? Assuming it wasn't, I don't know. A. Yes, I do. Q. What makes you think that? A. Because I skipped the first couple steps of the disciplinary process and went to Notice of Termination. Cardinal sin would be something you automatically terminate someone for. You don't put them on Notice of Termination. You are terminated. He failed that dishonesty clause which falls under the class of a cardinal sin. Q. Article 54? A. Right. So he should have been terminated. I put him on Notice of Termination. And had they grieved it, it would have came that I had not followed the progressive discipline process,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. No. Q. Is that common? Is that allowed? Two questions, I'm sorry. Is it standard? Have you ever done that before? A. No. Q. Is it allowed to do that? A. I haven't seen I haven't seen anybody or heard of anybody doing that. Q. In either of these two reviews, 10 or 11, you've read them fully, correct, maybe not today but at the time that you read them, right? A. Yes. Q. Do you recall you taking issue or having any conversations with anybody about something that was about a rating you received that you had a question about or didn't like? A. No. Q. You were satisfied with these two? A. Yes. Q. Is there anything, sir I know a lot of this stuff we talked and was somewhat history is there anything that has come to you over the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	historical (sic), that I've had other employees that are on Notice of Termination that have been reduced to suspensions and have returned to work. Q. Do you believe that's what would have happened if this would have run its course and the grievance would have been filed on time? Assuming it wasn't, I don't know. A. Yes, I do. Q. What makes you think that? A. Because I skipped the first couple steps of the disciplinary process and went to Notice of Termination. Cardinal sin would be something you automatically terminate someone for. You don't put them on Notice of Termination. You are terminated. He failed that dishonesty clause which falls under the class of a cardinal sin. Q. Article 54? A. Right. So he should have been terminated. I put him on Notice of Termination. And had they grieved it, it would have came that I

	Case 1.07 eV 00102 Decament 10	:	1 age 20 01 00
	Page 262	:	Page 264
1	Q. Did it surprise you that they failed	1	Q. Are you telling me that you were not
2	to file in this case?	2	aware that there is in fact up until June of this
3	A. Yes.	3	year, there was an arbitration process going on
4	Q. Were you aware that they fail to file	4	between Mr. Andreu and the union on the one hand and
5	a grievance from the 2/10 meeting up?	5	UPS on the other hand concerning the grievance of
6	A. Yes.	6	the notice suspension you put him on?
7	Q. The union steward who was representing	7	A. No.
8	Mr. Andreu, at the February 10, 2005 meeting was Pam	‡ 8	Q. This is all news to you?
9	Tredwell, correct?	9	A. Yes.
10		;10	Q. It's your testimony there was no
11	8	11	grievance filed on the day you terminated him March
12		12	4, correct?
13		13	A. Yes.
14	The series of th	14	Q. Do you recognize in the disposition
15	But the second and the second	15	section of Exhibit 12 there is a step one, that has
16	8 F	16	a date of 2-10-05 some writing, "Met with Kerry.
17	*	17	Jose put on Notice of Termination pending
18	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	18	investigation. Looks like the union rep signature
19		19	Tredwell. Do you recognize the union rep's
20	a union steward for teamsters Local 705?	20	signature?
21	A. Yes, I was aware of that.	21	A. I recognize the name, but I don't know
22		22	what her signature looks like.
23	else.	23	Q. What's the name?
24		24	A. It says Tredwell.
	Page 263	1	Page 265
1	RE-DIRECT EXAMINATION	1	Q. I'm talking about what's under the
2	BY MR. COFFEY:	2	employee rep signature?
3	Q. I'm just going to mark this. I'll	3	A. It looks like "RTS."
4	give you a copy.	4	Q. What is that?
5	(Document marked as	5	A. That's an acronym for refused to sign.
6	Exhibit No. 12 for	6	Q. Whose writing is that?
7	identification.)	7	A. I don't know.
8	BY MR. COFFEY:	8	Q. Did Ms. Tredwell present you with this
9	Q. Mr. Snyder, I'm showing you what's	9	grievance at some point in time, at any point in
10	been marked as Exhibit No. 12, Local Union number	10	time and you refused to sign?
11	705 grievance form, grievance number. Have you seen	11	A. No.
12	this document before?	12	Q. Had you become aware, that
13	Å. No.	13	Ms. Tredwell had submitted a grievance, be it late
14	Q. This is the first time you've seen it?	14	or be it on time and somebody refused to sign it?
15	A. I'm sorry, what's that?	15	A. No.
16	Q. Is this the first time you are seeing	16	Q. It's your testimony that you weren't
17	it?	17	aware that there was any grievance presented at all?
18	A. Yes.	18	A. That's correct, no grievance was
19	Q. Is it news to you that Mr. Andreu	, 19	presented at all.
20	filled out a grievance form or somebody did on his	20	Q. Are you saying that as a matter of
21	behalf from the union and filed a grievance?	21	fact or you just don't know?
22	A. Yes.	22	A. I'm saying no grievance was presented
23	Q. That's brand new news to you?	23	to me at all.
1		1	
24	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	24	Q. To you?

1	Page 266	:	Page 268
	A. Yes.	1	take it?
2	Q. And your testimony was that you	2	A. Correct. As a matter of fact, he got
3	weren't aware that Jose had appeared before the	3	very irate and upset when he tried to give it to me,
4	joint grievance panel back in 2006 over a grievance	2	and I didn't accept it.
5	concerning the Notice of Termination?	5	MR. WATSON: Nothing further.
6	A. No, I was not aware of that.	6	FURTHER RE-DIRECT EXAMINATION
7	Q. Or that there was an arbitration that	7	BY MR. COFFEY:
8	was scheduled to take place in June of '07	8	Q. When was this that Mr. Emanuelson tried
9	concerning the Notice of Termination?	و ا	to give it to you? How far after March 4, 2005?
10	A. No, I was not aware of that.	10	A. Shortly after that, within the next
11	Q. Nobody told you that you might have to	11	two to four subsequent weeks.
12	give testimony in an arbitration for Jose Andreu's	12	Q. And this is a conversation between you
13	grievance?	13	and Mr. Emanuelson?
14	A. No.	14	A. Yes.
15	Q. Brand new news to you today, all of	15	Q. Where at?
16	this?	16	A. In my office.
17	A. Yes.	17	Q. What was said and by whom?
18	Q. You have never seen a copy of	18	A. He said Mr. Emanuelson had the
19	grievance 114622 on behalf of Jose before?	19	grievance in his hand, he said I need you to accept
20	A. No, I have not.	20	this grievance. I asked him what it was for. He
21	MR. COFFEY: That's all I have.	21	said it was for Jose Andreu. I said I'm not going
22	MR. WATSON: Just a couple questions	22	to accept it, it's untimely. And he responded that,
23	following up on that.	23	somewhat to the extent that you can't refuse to
24		24	accept a grievance, and then he got all irate about
	Page 267		Page 269
1	RECROSS-EXAMINATION	1	it and very clearly because he was red in the face
2	BY MR. WATSON:	2	and angry saying, I've never been refused, no one
3	Q. After March 4, 2005, a union business	3	has ever refused to accept a grievance from me.
4	agent Ken Emanuelson tried to give you a grievance,	4	Q. Why exactly did you refuse to accept
5	correct?	5	it?
6	A. Yes.	6	A. Because it was untimely. It was way
7	Q. Do you know if it was this grievance	7	past the grace period.
8	that we have in front of us that counsel has marked	8	Q. Did you talk to anybody subsequent to
9	as Exhibit 12?	9	this conversation, Mr. Dunn or Mr. Hefke or anybody
_	A. I didn't see it. He had it in his	10	at UPS and at least advise them that Ken Emanuelson
10	hand. He tried to give it to me. He was on the	11	showed up he wanted me to accept a grievance, I
	other side of the desk, and I refused to accept it	12	said, no; did you tell them of this?
10			
10 11	because it had passed the grace period, the 15-day	13	A. I don't recall exactly.
10 11 12	grace period, and it had already I mean, I wasn't	14	A. I don't recall exactly. Q. You don't know if you did or not?
10 11 12 13 14 15		1	Q. You don't know if you did or not? A. If anybody, I would have called
10 11 12 13 14	grace period, and it had already I mean, I wasn't	14	Q. You don't know if you did or not?
10 11 12 13 14 15	grace period, and it had already I mean, I wasn't going to accept an untimely grievance.	14 15	Q. You don't know if you did or not? A. If anybody, I would have called
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	grace period, and it had already — I mean, I wasn't going to accept an untimely grievance. Q. This was after March 4, 2005? A. Yes. Q. Mr. Andreu had already been let go?	14 15 16	Q. You don't know if you did or not? A. If anybody, I would have called Mr. Hefke and informed him that I had had this
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	grace period, and it had already — I mean, I wasn't going to accept an untimely grievance. Q. This was after March 4, 2005? A. Yes. Q. Mr. Andreu had already been let go? A. Yes.	14 15 16 17	Q. You don't know if you did or not? A. If anybody, I would have called Mr. Hefke and informed him that I had had this encounter with Mr. Emanuelson.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	grace period, and it had already I mean, I wasn't going to accept an untimely grievance. Q. This was after March 4, 2005? A. Yes. Q. Mr. Andreu had already been let go? A. Yes. Q. So it could have been this? It may	14 15 16 17 18	Q. You don't know if you did or not? A. If anybody, I would have called Mr. Hefke and informed him that I had had this encounter with Mr. Emanuelson. Q. You are not sure, correct? A. I'm not a hundred percent sure. Q. But you are sure you didn't talk to
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	grace period, and it had already — I mean, I wasn't going to accept an untimely grievance. Q. This was after March 4, 2005? A. Yes. Q. Mr. Andreu had already been let go? A. Yes. Q. So it could have been this? It may not have been, but at that point in time you didn't	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. You don't know if you did or not? A. If anybody, I would have called Mr. Hefke and informed him that I had had this encounter with Mr. Emanuelson. Q. You are not sure, correct? A. I'm not a hundred percent sure. Q. But you are sure you didn't talk to anybody to before you made the decision not to
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	grace period, and it had already — I mean, I wasn't going to accept an untimely grievance. Q. This was after March 4, 2005? A. Yes. Q. Mr. Andreu had already been let go? A. Yes. Q. So it could have been this? It may not have been, but at that point in time you didn't accept it; correct?	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. You don't know if you did or not? A. If anybody, I would have called Mr. Hefke and informed him that I had had this encounter with Mr. Emanuelson. Q. You are not sure, correct? A. I'm not a hundred percent sure. Q. But you are sure you didn't talk to anybody to before you made the decision not to accept it, correct?
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	grace period, and it had already — I mean, I wasn't going to accept an untimely grievance. Q. This was after March 4, 2005? A. Yes. Q. Mr. Andreu had already been let go? A. Yes. Q. So it could have been this? It may not have been, but at that point in time you didn't	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. You don't know if you did or not? A. If anybody, I would have called Mr. Hefke and informed him that I had had this encounter with Mr. Emanuelson. Q. You are not sure, correct? A. I'm not a hundred percent sure. Q. But you are sure you didn't talk to anybody to before you made the decision not to

we tried to give it to you or anything or any indication that it was left in the office or anything. It was like the first time he was a presenting it. Q. What were his words though? Did he make any indication verbally that, in fact this is to make any indication verbally that, in fact this is the first time we're presenting the grievance or was there just silence, here is the grievance? A. I think I remember saying that Pam had 10 given this to me, wanted me to give it to you, but 1 forgot about it, some kind of thing like that. Q. Are you sure? A. Yes, something like that. Q. Like an admission, huh? A. Yes, something like that. Q. And you remember that? A. Yes, or any thing like that. Q. And you remember that? A. Yes, or any thing like that. Q. And you remember that? A. Yes, or any the was late, huh? A. Yes, or any the was late, huh? A. Yes, or any thing like that. B. REASON: A. Yes, or any thing like that. C. CHANGE: B. REASON: C. CHANGE: C. CHANGE: C. REASON: C. CHANGE: C. REASON: C. CHANGE: C. CHANGE: C. REASON: C. CHANGE: C. REASON: C. CHANGE: C. CHANGE: C. REASON: C. CHANGE: C.		Page 274	ı	Page 226
a mything. It was like the first time he was presenting it. Q. What were his words though? Did he make any indication verbally that, in fact this is to make any indication verbally that, in fact this is to make any indication verbally that, in fact this is to the first time we're presenting the grievance or was there just silence, here is the grievance or was there just silence, here is the grievance or was there just silence, here is the grievance or was the first time we're presenting the grievance or was the first time we're presenting the grievance or was the first time we're presenting the grievance or was the first time we're presenting the grievance or was the first time we're presenting the grievance or was the first time we're presenting the grievance or was the first time we're presenting the grievance or was the first time we're presenting the grievance or was the first time we're presenting the grievance or was the first time we're presenting the grievance or was the first time we're presenting the grievance or was the first time we're presenting the grievance or was the first time we're presenting the grievance or was the first time we're presenting the grievance or was the first due to the grievance or was the first due to the grievance or was the first time we're presenting the grievance or was the first due to the grievance or was to the grievance or was the first due to the grievance or was the due to the grievance or was to the grievance or w	ļ		i	Page 276
a anything. It was like the first time he was by presenting it. by Q. What were his words though? Did he make any indication verbally that, in fact this is the first time we're presenting the grievance or was there just silence, here is the grievance? A. I think I remember saying that Pam had given this to me, wanted me to give it to you, but I forgot about it, some kind of thing like that. Q. Are you sure? A. Yes, Q. Like an admission, huh? A. Yes, something like that. Q. Are something like that. Q. He admitted it was late, huh? A. Yes, and you remember that? A. Yes, A. Ye			1	CASE: ANDREU VS. UPS
4 PAGE LINE ERRATA SHEET 5 Q. What were his words though? Did he make any indication verbally that, in fact this is there is time were presenting the grievance or was there just silence, here is the grievance? 9 A. I think I remember saying that Pam had 1 given this to me, wanted me to give it to you, but I 1 forgot about it, some kind of thing like that. 12 Q. Are you sure? 13 A. Yes. 14 Q. Like an admission, huh? 15 A. Yes, something like that. 16 Q. He admirted it was late, huh? 17 A. Yes, 17 CHANGE: 18 Q. And you remember that? 19 A. Yes. 20 MR. COFFEY: Okay, thanks. 21 MR. WATSON: Nothing further. We'll 1 REASON: 22 REASON: 23 DEPONENT FURTHER SAITH NAUGHT 2 REASON: 24 R. WATSON: Nothing further. We'll 1 REASON: 25 DEPONENT FURTHER SAITH NAUGHT 2 REASON: 26 REASON: 27 REASON: 28 REASON: 29 CHANGE: 30 REASON: 31 CHANGE: 32 REASON: 33 REASON: 34 REASON: 35 CHANGE: 36 REASON: 37 CHANGE: 38 REASON: 39 CHANGE: 30 REASON: 30 CHANGE: 31 CHANGE: 31 CHANGE: 32 REASON: 33 REASON: 34 REASON: 35 CHANGE: 36 REASON: 37 CHANGE: 38 REASON: 39 CHANGE: 30 REASON: 30 CHANGE: 31 CHANGE: 31 CHANGE: 31 CHANGE: 32 REASON: 32 REASON: 33 REASON: 34 CHANGE: 35 CHANGE: 36 REASON: 37 CHANGE: 38 REASON: 38 REASON: 39 CHANGE: 30 REASON: 30 CHANGE: 30 REASON: 31 CHANGE: 31 CHANGE: 31 CHANGE: 32 REASON: 31 CHANGE: 32 REASON: 31 REASON: 32 REASON: 33 CHANGE: 34 REASON: 35 REASON: 36 CHANGE: 37 CHANGE: 38 REASON: 39 CHANGE: 30 REASON: 30 CHANGE: 30 REASON: 31 CHANGE: 31 CHANGE: 31 CHANGE: 31 CHANGE: 31 CHANGE: 31 CHANGE: 32 REASON: 31 CHANGE: 32 REASON: 33 CHANGE: 34 REASON: 35 REASON: 36 REASON: 37 CHANGE: 38 REASON: 38 REASON: 39 CHANGE: 30 REASON: 30 CHANGE: 30 REASON: 31 CHANGE: 31 CHANGE: 31 CHANGE: 31 CHANGE: 32 REASON: 31 CHANGE: 32 REASON: 33 CHANGE: 34 REASON: 35 REASON: 36 REASON: 37 CHANGE: 38 REASON: 39 CHANGE: 30 REASON: 30 CHANGE: 30 REASON: 30 CHANGE: 30 REASON: 31 CHANGE: 31 CHANGE: 31 CHANGE: 31 CHANGE: 32 REASON: 31 CHANGE: 32 REASO	2		2	DATE TAKEN: 7/11/07
5 Q. What were his words though? Did he make any indication verbally that, in fact this is the lirst time we're presenting the grievance or was the lirst time we're presenting the grievance or was the lirst time we're presenting the grievance or was the lirst time we're presenting the grievance or was the line of the presenting the grievance or was the line of the presenting the grievance or was the line of the presenting the grievance or was the line of the presenting the grievance or was the line of the presenting the grievance or was the line of the presenting the grievance or was the line of the presenting the grievance or was the line of the presenting the grievance or was the line of the presenting the grievance or was the line of the present of the prese	3		3	DEPONENT: KERRY SNYDER
6 make any indication verbally that, in fact this is 7 the first time were presenting the grievance or was 8 there just silence, here is the grievance? 9 A. I think I remember saying that Pam had 10 given this to me, wanted me to give it to you, but 1 11 forgot about it, some kind of thing like that. 12 Q. Are you sure? 13 A. Yes. 14 Q. Like an admission, huh? 15 A. Yes, something like that. 16 Q. He admitted it was late, huh? 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. And you remember that? 19 A. Yes. 19 CHANGE: 10 GIVEN A. Yes. 10 GRASON: 11 GRASON: 12 REASON: 13 CHANGE: 14 REASON: 15 CHANGE: 16 Q. He admitted it was late, huh? 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. And you remember that? 19 A. Yes. 19 CHANGE: 20 MR. COFFEY: Okay, thanks. 21 MR. WATSON: Nothing further. We'll 22 reserve. 23 DEPONENT FURTHER SAITH NAUGHT 24 REASON: 25 DEPONENT FURTHER SAITH NAUGHT 26 REASON: 27 Page 275 Page 275 Page 277 1 NTHE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF LLINOIS 2 PASTERN DIVISION 2 SEARCH DIVISION 2 DEFENDANCE: 3 DEPONENT FURTHER SAITH NAUGHT 4 Plainfif,) 5 No. 07 C 0473 5 VINITED PARCEL SERVICE, INC.) 2 Defendant. 3 DEFONENT FURTHER CHARCE 4 COUNTY OF C O O K) 5 No. 07 C 0473 5 VINITED PARCEL SERVICE, INC.) 5 Defendant. 5 No. 07 C 0473 6 Exporter: Denise A. Andras, a Notary Public within and for the County of Cook and State of Illinois, and for the County of Cook and State of Illinois, and for the County of Cook and State of Illinois, and for the County of Cook and State of Chicago, in the County of Cook and State of Illinois, and that the foregoing masceria teachest, faux, with the chages made on the carevally states the above-entiled cause by Devise, A. Andras, Certified Storband Reporter of said states, and afterwards reduced to with the chage made on the carevariely states to the foregoing is at true and nothing but the truth in the whole with the chages made on the carevariely states to the foregoing is at true and correct transcription, and the before and same to the foregoing is at true and correct transcription, and the before o		presenting it.	4	PAGE LINE ERRATA SHEET
the first time we're presenting the grievance? there just silence, here is the grievance? A I think I remember saying that Pam had given this to me, wanted me to give it to you, but I forgot about it, some kind of thing like that. Q Are you sure? Like an admission, huh? A Yes, A Yes, something like that. Q Like an admission, huh? A Yes, something like that. CHANGE: REASON: C	5	Salar Sa	5	CHANGE:
8 there just silence, here is the grievance? 9 A. I think I remember saying that Pam had 10 given this to me, wanted me to give it to you, but I 11 forgot about it, some kind of thing like that. 12 Q. Are you sure? 13 A. Yes. 14 Q. Like an admission, huh? 15 A. Yes, something like that. 16 Q. He admitted it was late, huh? 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. And you remember that? 19 A. Yes. 19 A. Yes. 20 MR. COFFEY: Okay, thanks. 21 MR. WATSON: Nothing further. We'll 22 reserve. 23 DEPONENT FURTHER SAITH NAUGHT 24 Page 275 1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ELINOIS EASTERN DIVISION 2 EASTERN DIVISION 2 EASTERN DIVISION 3 JOSE ANDREU,) 4 Plaintiff,) 5 Plaintiff,) 5 Plaintiff,) 6 UNITED PARCEL SERVICE, INC.) 7 Defendant.) 8 CHANGE: 12 REASON: 13 CHANGE: 14 REASON: 15 CHANGE: 16 REASON: 17 CHANGE: 18 REASON: 19 CHANGE: 19 CHANGE: 20 REASON: 21 REASON: 22 REASON: 23 REASON: 24 CHANGE: 25 REASON: 26 REASON: 27 REASON: 28 REASON: 29 REASON: 20 REASON: 21 SIGNED) DATE 24 Reporter: Denise A. Andras, CSR, RPR Page 277 26 No. 07 C 0473 27 Plaintiff,) 5 This is to certify that I have read the answerpt of my deposition taken in the above-entities loss answers given by inc. 28 UNITED PARCEL SERVICE, INC.) 29 Defendant.) 20 COUNTY OF C OO K) 31 JOSE ANDREU,) 32 JOSE ANDREU,) 33 LITTER DISTRICT OF ELINOIS 1 In the county of Cook and State of Illinois, and a Certified Shorthand Reporter of said state, do hereby certify that the retoforcy, to-wit, on the II th day of July, 2007, and that the feeping massering accurately states are always and a Certified Shorthand Reporter of the County of Cook and State of Illinois, a witness is an extrain cause of the state of the cause of Armans, and the free present properties of the County of Cook and State of Illinois, and that the feeping massering accurately states and the state of the cause of Armans, and the free properties of the County of Cook and State of Illinois, and the free properties of the County of Cook and State of Illinois, and the free properties of	6		6	REASON:
8 there just silence, here is the grievance? 9 A. I think I remember saying that Pam had 10 given this to me, wanted me to give it to you, but I 11 forgot about it, some kind of thing like that. 12 Q. Are you sure? 13 A. Yes. 14 Q. Like an admission, huh? 15 A. Yes, something like that. 16 Q. He admitted it was late, huh? 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. And you remember that? 19 A. Yes. 19 A. Yes. 20 MR. COFFEY: Okay, thanks. 21 MR. WATSON: Nothing further. We'll 22 reserve. 23 DEPONENT FURTHER SAITH NAUGHT 24 Page 275 1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ELINOIS EASTERN DIVISION 2 EASTERN DIVISION 2 EASTERN DIVISION 3 JOSE ANDREU,) 4 Plaintiff,) 5 Plaintiff,) 5 Plaintiff,) 6 UNITED PARCEL SERVICE, INC.) 7 Defendant.) 8 CHANGE: 12 REASON: 13 CHANGE: 14 REASON: 15 CHANGE: 16 REASON: 17 CHANGE: 18 REASON: 19 CHANGE: 19 CHANGE: 20 REASON: 21 REASON: 22 REASON: 23 REASON: 24 CHANGE: 25 REASON: 26 REASON: 27 REASON: 28 REASON: 29 REASON: 20 REASON: 21 SIGNED) DATE 24 Reporter: Denise A. Andras, CSR, RPR Page 277 26 No. 07 C 0473 27 Plaintiff,) 5 This is to certify that I have read the answerpt of my deposition taken in the above-entities loss answers given by inc. 28 UNITED PARCEL SERVICE, INC.) 29 Defendant.) 20 COUNTY OF C OO K) 31 JOSE ANDREU,) 32 JOSE ANDREU,) 33 LITTER DISTRICT OF ELINOIS 1 In the county of Cook and State of Illinois, and a Certified Shorthand Reporter of said state, do hereby certify that the retoforcy, to-wit, on the II th day of July, 2007, and that the feeping massering accurately states are always and a Certified Shorthand Reporter of the County of Cook and State of Illinois, a witness is an extrain cause of the state of the cause of Armans, and the free present properties of the County of Cook and State of Illinois, and that the feeping massering accurately states and the state of the cause of Armans, and the free properties of the County of Cook and State of Illinois, and the free properties of the County of Cook and State of Illinois, and the free properties of	7	the first time we're presenting the grievance or was	7	CHANGE:
10 given this to me, wanted me to give it to you, but I 1 forgot about it, some kind of thing like that. 11 forgot about it, some kind of thing like that. 12 Q. Are you sure? 13 A. Yes. 14 Q. Like an admission, huh? 14 REASON: 15 A. Yes, something like that. 15 CHANGE: 16 REASON: 17 CHANGE: 17 CHANGE: 18 Q. And you remember that? 18 REASON: 19 CHANGE: 19 A. Yes. 19 CHANGE: 20 MR. COFFEY: Okay, thanks. 21 MR. WATSON: Nothing further. We'll 22 reserve. 22 REASON: 23 DEPONENT FURTHER SAITH NAUGHT 24 Reporter: Denise A. Andras, CSR, RPR Page 275 18 NTHE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF BLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION 2 EASTERN DIVISION 2 SOME ANDREU, 3 JOSE ANDREU, 4 Plaintiff, 5	8	there just silence, here is the grievance?	8	REASON:
11 forgot about it, some kind of thing like that. 12 Q. Are you sure? 13 A. Yes. 14 Q. Like an admission, huh? 15 A. Yes, something like that. 16 Q. He admitted it was late, huh? 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. And you remember that? 19 A. Yes. 19 A. Yes. 20 MR. COFFEY: Okay, thanks, 21 MR. WATSON: Nothing further. We'll 22 reserve. 23 DEPONENT FURTHER SAITH NAUGHT 24 Paintiff, 25 DESATREN DIVISION 26 ADMEU, 27 Page 275 28 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS 29 Paintiff, 30 JOSE ANDREU, 40 Plaintiff, 51 DEFINANCE 51 DEPONENT FURTHER SAITH NAUGHT 52 COUNTY OF COOK) 53 JOSE ANDREU, 54 DEPONENT FURTHER SAITH NAUGHT 55 DEFINANCE OF MARKS OF STATE OF ILLINOIS 56 ANDREU, 67 DEFINANCE OF STATE OF ILLINOIS 68 TO STATE OF ILLINOIS OF STATE OF STATE OF ILLINOIS OF STATE OF STATE OF STATE OF ILLINOIS OF STATE OF STA	9	A. I think I remember saying that Pam had	9	CHANGE:
11 forgot about it, some kind of thing like that. 12 Q. Are you sure? 13 A. Yes. 14 Q. Like an admission, huh? 15 A. Yes, something like that. 16 Q. He admitted it was late, huh? 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. And you remember that? 18 REASON: 19 A. Yes. 19 A. Yes. 19 MR. COFFEY: Okay, thanks. 20 MR. COFFEY: Okay, thanks. 21 MR. WATSON: Nothing further. We'll reserve. 22 reserve. 23 DEPONENT FURTHER SAITH NAUGHT 24 Page 275 18 THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS 25 EASTERN DIVISION 26 DIAMAGE: 27 SEATERN DIVISION 28 DESTERN DIVISION 29 Defendant. 20 DEFONENT FURTHER SAITH NAUGHT 21 CHANGE: 22 REASON: 23 DEPONENT FURTHER SAITH NAUGHT 24 Reporter: Denise A. Andras, CSR, RPR Page 277 27 No. 07 C 0473 28 DEFONENT FURTHER SAITH NAUGHT 29 TOURTY OF C O OK) 10 Defendant. 10 Defendant. 11 CHANGE: 12 REASON: 13 REASON: 14 REASON: 15 CHANGE: 18 REASON: 19 CHANGE: 18 REASON: 19 CHANGE: 18 REASON: 19 CHANGE: 10 CHANGE: 10 REASON: 11 CHANGE: 12 CHANGE: 13 REASON: 14 REASON: 15 CHANGE: 18 REASON: 17 CHANGE: 18 REASON: 19 CHANGE: 18 REASON: 19 CHANGE: 18 REASON: 19 CHANGE: 18 REASON: 19 CHANGE: 18 REASON: 17 CHANGE: 18 REASON: 19 CHANGE: 18 REASON: 19 CHANGE: 10 CHANGE: 18 REASON: 11 CHANGE: 18 REASON: 19 CHANGE: 18 REASON: 10 CHANGE: 18 REASON: 17 CHANGE: 18 REASON: 19 CHANGE: 10 CHANGE: 10 REASON: 10 REASON: 11 CHANGE: 12 REASON: 13 CHANGE: 14 CHANGE: 15 CHANGE: 16 REASON: 17 CHANGE: 18 REASON: 18 REASON: 19 CHANGE: 18 REASON: 10 CHANGE: 18 REASON: 10 CHANGE: 18 REASON: 10 CHANGE: 18 REASON: 19 CHANGE: 18 REASON: 10 CHANGE: 10 CHANGE: 10 CHANGE: 11 CHANGE: 12 REASON: 13 CHANGE: 14 CHANGE: 15 CHANGE: 16 REASON: 17 CHANGE: 18 REASON: 19 CHANGE: 18 REASON: 19 CHANGE: 10 CHANGE: 11 CHANGE: 12 REASON: 13 JOSEA DAR DEVELOR OF COOK AND STATE DISTRICT COURT FOR THE AND THE AND THE AND THE AND THE AND THE AND THE	10	given this to me, wanted me to give it to you, but I	10	REASON:
12 Q. Are you sure? 13 A. Yes, 14 Q. Like an admission, huh? 15 A. Yes, something like that. 16 Q. He admitted it was late, huh? 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. And you remember that? 18 REASON: 19 A. Yes. 19 CHANGE: 19 A. Yes. 20 MR. COFFEY: Okay, thanks, 21 MR. WATSON: Nothing further. We'll 22 reserve. 23 DEPONENT FURTHER SAITH NAUGHT 24 Page 275 1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS 2 EASTERN DIVISION 2 Page 277 1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS 2 EASTERN DIVISION 2 COUNTY OF C O O K) 3 I, Denise A. Andras, a Notary Public within and for the County of Cook and State of Illinois, and a Certified Shorthand Reporter of said state, do hereby certify that heretofore, to-wit, on the 11th day of July, 2007, KERRY SNYDER personally appeared before me at 29 South LaSale setter, in the City of Chicago, in the County of Cook and State of Shorthand Reporter of said state of Shorthand Reporter of said state, do hereby certify that heretofore, to-wit, on the 11th day of July, 2007, KERRY SNYDER personally appeared before me at 29 South LaSale sett, in the City of Chicago, in the County of Cook and State of Shorthand Reporter of said state, of the remaining sked and the answers given by me, statech thereporter, on the 11th day of July, 2007, attacked thereporter, on the 11th day of July, 2007, the County of Cook and State of Shorthand Reporter of said state, of the remaining was sked and the answers given by me, statech thereporter, on the 11th day of July, 2007, the County of Cook and State of Shorthand Reporter of said state, of the remaining was sked and the answers given by me, statech thereporter, on the 11th day of July, 2007, the County of Cook and State of Shorthand Reporter of said state, of the remaining was state and the answers given by said with the changes made on the curtan scheen, if any, statech therefore, the remaining was state and the answers given by said with the changes made on the curtan scheen, if any, statech there of the curtan sc	11		11	CHANGE:
1.3 CHANGE: 1.4 Q. Like an admission, huh? 1.5 A. Yes, something like that 1.6 Q. He admitted it was late, huh? 1.7 A. Yes. 1.8 Q. And you remember that? 1.8 Q. And you remember that? 1.9 A. Yes. 1.0 CHANGE: 1.1 CHANGE: 1.1 CHANGE: 1.2 CHANGE: 1.3 CHANGE: 1.4 REASON: 1.7 CHANGE: 1.8 REASON: 1.9 CHANGE: 1.9 CHANGE: 1.0 CHANGE: 1.0 CHANGE: 1.1 CHANGE: 1.2 REASON: 1.2 CHANGE: 1.3 REASON: 1.4 REASON: 1.5 CHANGE: 1.6 REASON: 1.7 CHANGE: 1.8 REASON: 1.9 CHANGE: 1.0 CHANGE: 1.1 CHANGE: 1.0 CHANGE: 1.1 CHANGE: 1.2 CHANGE: 1.2 CHANGE: 1.2 CHANGE: 1.3 CHANGE: 1.3 CHANGE: 1.1 CHANGE: 1.2 CHANGE: 1.2 CHANGE: 1.3 CHANGE: 1.3 CHANGE: 1.1 CHANGE: 1.2 CHANGE: 1.2 CHANGE: 1.3 CHANGE: 1.1 CHANGE: 1.2 CHANGE: 1.2 CHANGE: 1.3 CHANGE: 1.1 CHANGE: 1.2 CHANGE: 1.2 CHANGE: 1.3 CHANGE: 1.3 CHANGE: 1.1 CHANGE: 1.2 CHANGE: 1.3 CHANGE: 1.1 CHANGE: 1.2 CHANGE: 1.3 CHANGE: 1.3 CHANGE: 1.4 CHANGE: 1.5 CHANGE: 1.6 CHANGE: 1.7 CHANGE: 1.8 CREASON: 1.0 CHANGE: 1.0 CHANGE: 1.0 CHANGE: 1.0 CHANGE: 1.0 CHANGE: 1.1 CHANGE: 1.1 CHANGE: 1.1 CHANGE: 1.2 CHANGE: 1.3 CHANGE: 1.3 CHANGE: 1.1 CHANGE: 1.2 CHANGE: 1.3 CHANGE: 1.3 CHANGE: 1.1 CHANGE: 1.1 CHANGE: 1.2 CHANGE: 1.3 CHANGE: 1.3 CHANGE: 1.1 CHANGE: 1.1 CHANGE: 1.2 CHANGE: 1.3 CHANGE: 1.3 CHANGE: 1.1 CHANGE: 1.1 CHANGE: 1.2 CHANGE: 1.3 CHANGE: 1.3 CHANGE: 1.1 CHANGE: 1.1 CHANGE: 1.2 CHANGE: 1.3 CHANGE: 1.1 CHANGE: 1.1 CHANGE: 1.1 CHANGE: 1	12		12	
1.4 Q. Like an admission, huh? 1.5 A. Yes, something like that. 1.6 Q. He admitted it was late, huh? 1.7 A. Yes. 1.8 Q. And you remember that? 1.9 A. Yes. 1.9 A. Yes. 1.0 MR. COFFEY: Okay, thanks. 1.0 MR. WATSON: Nothing further. We'll 1.1 MR. WATSON: Nothing further. We'll 1.2 CHANGE: 1.2 REASON: 1.3 CHANGE: 1.4 REASON: 1.5 CHANGE: 1.6 REASON: 1.7 CHANGE: 1.8 REASON: 1.9 CHANGE: 1.0 REASON: 1.0 REASON: 1.1 CHANGE: 1.2 CHANGE: 1.2 CHANGE: 1.2 REASON: 1.3 CHANGE: 1.4 CHANGE: 1.5 CHANGE: 1.6 REASON: 1.7 CHANGE: 1.8 REASON: 1.9 CHANGE: 1.0 REASON: 1.0 REASON: 1.1 CHANGE: 1.2 REASON: 1.2 CHANGE: 1.3 CHANGE: 1.4 CHANGE: 1.5 CHANGE: 1.6 REASON: 1.7 CHANGE: 1.8 REASON: 1.9 CHANGE: 1.0 REASON: 1.0 LOHANGE: 1.0 REASON: 1.1 CHANGE: 1.2 CHANGE: 1.2 REASON: 1.3 (SIGNED) DATE 1.4 Reporter: Denise A. Andras, CSR, RPR 1.5 STATE OF ILLINOIS) 1.5 SS: 1.5 CHANGE: 1.6 REASON: 1.7 CHANGE: 1.8 REASON: 1.9 CHANGE: 1.0 REASON: 1.0 LOHANGE: 1.0 REASON: 1.0 LOHANGE: 1.0 REASON: 1.0 LOHANGE: 1.0 REASON: 1.1 CHANGE: 1.2 CHANGE: 1.3 REASON: 1.4 CHANGE: 1.5 CHANGE: 1.6 REASON: 1.7 CHANGE: 1.8 REASON: 1.9 CHANGE: 1.8 REASON: 1.0 REASON: 1.0 LOHANGE: 1.0 REASON: 1.1 CHANGE: 1.1 CHANGE: 1.2 CHANGE: 1.3 REASON: 1.3 I STATE OF ILLINOIS) 1.3 I, Denise A. Andras, a Notary Public within and of the County of Cook and State of Illinois, and a Certified Shorthand Reporter of said state, do hereby certify that heretofore, to-wit, on the 11th and of the County of Cook and State of Illinois, and a Certified Shorthand Reporter of said state, do hereby certify that heretofore, to-wit, on the 11th and of the County of Cook and State of Illinois, and a Certified Shorthand Reporter of said state, do hereby certify that heretofore, to-wit, on the 11th and the the foregoing transcript accurately states 1.5 Shorthand Reporter, on the 11th day of July, 2007, A SERRY SNYDER personally appeared before me at 29 South LasSalle Street, in the City of Chicago, in the County of Cook and State of Illinois, and a Certified Shorthand Reporter of said state, do hereby ce	13	· •	13	
1.5 Å. Yes, something like that. 1.6 Q. He admitted it was late, huh? 1.7 Å. Yes. 1.8 Q. And you remember that? 1.8 REASON: 1.9 A. Yes. 1.9 CHANGE: 1.0 MR. COFFEY: Okay, thanks. 1.0 MR. WATSON: Nothing further. We'll 1.1 CHANGE: 1.2 REASON: 1.2 CHANGE: 1.3 CHANGE: 1.4 CHANGE: 1.5 CHANGE: 1.6 REASON: 1.7 CHANGE: 1.8 REASON: 1.9 CHANGE: 1.0 CHANGE: 1.0 CHANGE: 1.0 CHANGE: 1.0 CHANGE: 1.1 CHANGE: 1.2 CHANGE: 1.2 CHANGE: 1.3 CHANGE: 1.4 CHANGE: 1.5 CHANGE: 1.6 REASON: 1.7 CHANGE: 1.8 REASON: 1.9 CHANGE: 1.0 CHANGE: 1.0 CHANGE: 1.0 CHANGE: 1.1 CHANGE: 1.2 CHANGE: 1.3 CHANGE: 1.4 CHANGE: 1.5 CHANGE: 1.6 CHANGE: 1.7 CHANGE: 1.8 REASON: 1.9 CHANGE: 1.0 C	14	O. Like an admission, huh?	Į.	
16 Q. He admitted it was late, huh? 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. And you remember that? 19 A. Yes. 19 CHANGE: 19 CHANGE: 20 MR. COFFEY: Okay, thanks. 21 MR. WATSON: Nothing further. We'll 22 reserve. 23 DEPONENT FURTHER SAITH NAUGHT 24 Reporter: Denise A. Andras, CSR, RPR Page 275 1 NITHE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS 2 EASTERN DIVISION 2 DOES ANDREU, 3 JOSE ANDREU, 4 Plaintiff, 5 VI. JON. 0.07 C 0473 4 UNITED PARCEL SERVICE, INC.) 7 Defendant. 9 This is to certify that I have read the 10 transcript of my deposition taken in the 21 above-entited cause by Denise A. Andras, Certified 12 shorthand Reporter, on the 11th day of July, 2007, KERRY SNYDER personally appeared before me at 29 South LaSalle Street, in the City of Chicago, in the County of Cook and State of 11llinois, and undetermined, wherein Jose Andreu is the 11 and undetermined, wherein Jose Andreu is the 12 shorthand Reporter, on the 11th day of July, 2007, KERRY SNYDER personally appeared before me at 29 South LaSalle Street, in the City of Chicago, in the County of Cook and State of 11llinois, a with the changes made on the creat a sheets, if any, 1 attached herete. 19 KERRY SNYDER 18 KERRY SNYDER 18 SUBSCRIBED and swom to 19 SUBSCR	15	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ì	
17 A. Yes. 18 Q. And you remember that? 19 A. Yes. 20 MR. COFFEY: Okay, thanks. 21 MR. WATSON: Nothing further. We'll 22 reserve. 23 DEPONENT FURTHER SAITH NAUGHT 24 Page 275 1 INTHE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS 2 EASTERN DIVISION 3 JOSE ANDREU, 4 Plaintiff, 5 Vs. 3 No. 07 C 0473 6 UNITED PARCEL SERVICE, INC.) 7 Defendant. 8 This is to certify that I have read the 10 transcript of my deposition taken in the 11 and that the fregoing transcript accurately states 14 the questions asked and the answers given by me, 15 with the changes made on the errats sheets, if any, 16 attached hersto. 17 CHANGE: 18 REASON: 20 REASON: 21 CHANGE: 22 REASON: 23 (SIGNED) DATE 24 Reporter: Denise A. Andras, CSR, RPR Page 277 STATE OF ILLINOIS) 5 SS: COUNTY OF C O O K) 3 I, Denise A. Andras, a Notary Public within and for the County of Cook and State of Illinois, and a Certified Shorthand Reporter of said state, do hereby certify that Herefore, to-wit, on the Illth day of July, 2007, KERRY SNYDER personally appeared before me at 29 South LaSalle Street, in the City of Chicago, in the County of Cook and State of 10 Illinois, a witness in a certain cause now pending 11 and that the fregoing transcript of my deposition taken in the 12 Shorthand Reporter, on the Ilth day of July, 2007, 13 In the County of Cook and State of Chicago, in the County of Cook and State of 14 Illinois, a witness in a certain cause now pending 15 In the Credity that the said witness was 16 If urther certify that the said witness was 17 If urther certify that the said witness was 18 If urther certify that the said witness was 19 EXERNY SNYDER 10 Illinois, a witness in a certain cause now pending 11 and that the estimation when given by said 12 witness was reported stenographically by me, in the 13 presence of said witness, and afterwards reduced to 14 type-writing by Computer-Aided Transcription, and the 15 foregoing is a true and correct transcription, and the 16 for			1	
18 Q. And you remember that? 19 A. Yes. 20 MR. COFFEY: Okay, thanks. 21 MR. WATSON: Nothing further. We'll 22 reserve. 23 DEPONENT FURTHER SAITH NAUGHT 24 Reporter: Denise A. Andras, CSR, RPR Page 275 1 INTHE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS 2 EASTERN DIVISION 3 JOSE ANDREU, 4 Plaintiff, 5 Vs.) No. 07 C 0473 6 UNITED PARCEL SERVICE, INC.) 7 Defendant. 9 This is to certify that I have read the transa theest by Denise A. Andras, Certified above-entitled cause by Denise A. Andras, Certified 2 Shorthand Reporter, on the 11th day of July, 2007, KERRY SNYDER personally appeared before me at 29 South LaSalle Street, in the City of Chicago, in the County of Cook and State of 10 Illinois, a witness in a certain cause now pending and undetermined, wherein Jose Andreu is the before me at 29 South LaSalle Street, in the City of 12 Plaintiff and UPS is the Defendant. 15 With the changes made on the ernats sheets submitted: 16 KERRY SNYDER SUBSCRIBED and swom to 20 Notary Public 21 CHANGE: 22 REASON: 23 CHANGE: 24 CHANGE: 25 REASON: 26 INTHE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT Page 277 27 STATE OF ILLINOIS) 28 STATE OF ILLINOIS) 29 SS: 20 COUNTY OF C O O K) 3 I, Denise A. Andras, a Notary Public within and for the County of Cook and State of Illinois, a wid for the County of Cook and State of Olicago, in the County of Cook and State of Illinois, a witness in a certain cause now pending and undetermined, wherein Jose Andreu is the before me at 29 South LaSalle Street, in the City of Chicago, in the County of Cook and State of Illinois, a witness in a certain cause now pending and undetermined, wherein Jose Andreu is the truth and nothing but the truth in the cause aforesaid; that the testimony then given by said witness, and afterwards reduced to type-writing by Computer-Aided Transcription, and the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the testimony so given by said witness as a foresaid. 20 Notary Public 21 Turther certify that the signature of the witne				
19 A. Yes. 20 MR. COFFEY: Okay, thanks. 21 MR. WATSON: Nothing further. We'll 22 reserve. 23 DEPONENT FURTHER SAITH NAUGHT 24 Page 275 Page 275 1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS 2 EASTERN DIVISION 3 JOSE ANDREU,) 4 Plaintiff,) 5 Vs.) No. 07 C 0473 6 UNITED PARCEL SERVICE, INC.) 7 Defendant.) 8 This is to certify that I have read the 11 days of my deposition taken in the 20 downwent of my deposition taken in the 21 above-entitled cause by Denise A. Andras, Certified Shorthand Reporter, on the 11th day of July, 2007, and that the foregoing transcript accurately states 14 the questions isked and the answers given by me, 15 with the changes made on the errats sheets, if any, 16 statched hereto. 18 VERRY SNYDER SUBSCRIBED and swom to 50 before me this day of 20 Notary Public 21 CHANGE: 22 REASON: 23 CHANGE: 24 CHANGE: 25 REASON: 26 REASON: 27 STATE OF ILLINOIS) 28 STATE OF ILLINOIS) 29 STATE OF ILLINOIS) 3 I, Denise A. Andras, a Notary Public within and for the County of Cook and State of Illinois, and a Certified Shorthand Reporter, on-wit, on the 11th day of July, 2007, ERRY SNYDER personally appeared before me at 29 South LaSalle Street, in the City of Chicago, in the County of Cook and State of Illinois, a witness in a certain cause now pending 11 and undetermined, wherein Jose Andreu is the 12 Plaintiff and UPS is the Defendant. 12 Plaintiff and UPS is the Defendant. 13 I further certify that the said witness was 14 interesting the curtaety states 15 truth and nothing but the truth in the cause 16 aforesaid; that the testimony then given by said witness was reported stenographically by me, in the 17 presence of said wilness, and afterwards reduced to 17 presence of said wilness, and afterwards reduced to 18 presence of said wilness, and afterwards reduced to 18 presence of said wilness, and afterwards reduced to 18 presence of said wilness, and afterwards reduced to 19 presence of said wilness, and afterwards reduced to 19 presence of said wilness, and afte			1	
20 MR. COFFEY: Okay, thanks. 21 MR. WATSON: Nothing further. We'll 22 reserve. 23 DEPONENT FURTHER SAITH NAUGHT 24 Page 275 Page 275 Page 275 Note of the Northern District Court For the Northern District Court For the Northern District Or Illinois Eastern Division JOSE ANDREU, Plaintiff, Note of Co473 UNITED PARCEL SERVICE, INC.) Defendant. Defendant. This is to certify that I have read the transcript accurately states the developing transcript of my deposition taken in the subve-entifled cause by Denise A. Andras, Certified Shorthand Reporter, on the III fld ay of July, 2007, The Cook and State of Illinois, a witness in a certain cause now pending transcript of my deposition taken in the subve-entified cause by Denise A. Andras, Certified Shorthand Reporter of said state, do hereby certify that heretofore, to-wit, on the II th of transcript of my deposition taken in the subve-entified cause by Denise A. Andras, Certified Shorthand Reporter of said state, do hereby certify that heretofore, to-wit, on the II th of transcript of my deposition taken in the subve-entified cause by Denise A. Andras, Certified Shorthand Reporter of said state, do hereby certify that heretofore, to-wit, on the II th of the Country of Cook and State of Chicago, in the Country of Cook and State of Chicago, in the Country of Cook and State of Chicago, in the Country of Cook and State of Chicago, in the Country of Cook and State of Chicago, in the Country of Cook and State of Chicago, in the Country of Cook and State of Chicago, in the Country of Cook and State of Chicago, in the Country of Cook and State of Chicago, in the Country of Cook and State of Chicago, in the Country of Cook and State of Chicago, in the Country of Cook and State of Chicago, in the Country of Cook and State of Chicago, in the Country of Cook and State of Chicago, in the Country of Cook and State of Chicago, in the Country of Cook and State of Chicago, in the Country of Cook and State of Chicago, in the Country of Cook and State of Chicago, in the Countr		2		
21 MR. WATSON: Nothing further. We'll 22 reserve. 23 DEPONENT FURTHER SAITH NAUGHT 24 Reporter: Denise A. Andras, CSR, RPR Page 275 Page 275 Page 276 Page 277 STATE OF ILLINOIS) SS: COUNTY OF C O O K) JOSE ANDREU,) Plaintiff,) Plaintiff,) No. 07 C 0473 VS.) No. 07 C 0473 VS.) No. 07 C 0473 This is to certify that I have read the transcript of my deposition taken in the above-entiled cause by Denise A. Andras, CSR, RPR PR This is to certify that I have read the transcript of my deposition taken in the above-entiled cause by Denise A. Andras, Certified and that the foregoing transcript accurately states the questions asked and the answers given by me, at the questions asked and the answers given by me, at the questions asked and the enawers given by me, at the questions asked and the enawers given by me, at the questions asked and the enawers given by me, at the questions asked and the enawers given by me, at the questions asked and the enawers given by me, at the questions asked and the enawers given by me, at the questions asked and the enawers given by me, at the questions asked and the enawers given by me, at the questions asked and the enawers given by me, at the questions asked and the enawers given by me, at the questions asked and the enawers given by me, at the questions asked and the enawers given by me, at the questions asked and the enawers given by me, at the questions asked and the enawers given by me, at the questions asked and the enawers given by me, at the questions asked and the enawers given by me, in the presence of said visites, and afterwards reduced to typewriting by Computer-Aided Transcription, and the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the testimony so given by said witness as aforesaid. 1 further certify that the saignature of the witness as a foregoing deposition was not waived			1	
22 REASON: DATE 24 Page 275 Reporter: Denise A. Andras, CSR, RPR 25 Page 275 Reporter: Denise A. Andras, CSR, RPR 26 Page 275 Page 277 27 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION 28 SASTERN DIVISION SIS: 3 JOSE ANDREU, 3 I, Denise A. Andras, a Notary Public within 4 and for the County of Cook and State of Illinois, 5 No. 07 C 0473 5 6 hereby certify that retroffore, to-vuit, on the 11th 7 day of July, 2007, KERRY SNYDER personally appeared 8 before me at 29 South LaSalle Street, in the City of 9 This is to certify that I have read the 1 above-entitled cause by Denise A. Andras, Certified 10 Itranscript of my deposition taken in the above-entitled cause by Denise A. Andras, certified 10 Itranscript of my deposition taken in the above-entitled cause by Denise A. Andras, certified 10 Itranscript of my deposition taken in the above-entitled cause by Denise A. Andras, a Notary Public within 10 Intranscript of Cook and State of Illinois, and a Certified Shorthand Reporter of said state, do hereby certify that the said state, do hereby certify that the said extended 10 Illinois, a witness in a certain cause now pending and undetermined, wherein Jose Andreu is the 11 Illinois, a witness in a certain cause now pending and undetermined, wherein Jose Andreu is the 12 Plaintiff and UPS is the Defendant. 13 Ifurther certify that the said witness was 1 first duly sworn to testify the truth, in the cause 1 and undetermined, wherein Jose Andreu is the		Į.		
DEPONENT FURTHER SAITH NAUGHT 23 (SIGNED) DATE Reporter: Denise A. Andras, CSR, RPR Page 277 1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS 2 EASTERN DIVISION 3 JOSE ANDREU,) 4 Plaintiff,) 5 Vs.)No. 07 C 0473		8	:	
Page 275 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION JOSE ANDREU, Plaintiff, No. 07 C 0473 No			:	
Page 275 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION JOSE ANDREU, Plaintiff, Vs. No. 07 C 0473 UNITED PARCEL SERVICE, INC.) Defendant. Defendant. This is to certify that I have read the transcript of my deposition taken in the above-emitled cause by Denise A. Andras, Certified Shorthand Reporter, on the 11th day of July, 2007, and that the foregoing transcript accurately states with the changes made on the errata sheets, if any, attached hereto. Number of errata sheets submitted: Number of errata sheets submitted: Next RY SNYDER SUBSCRIBED and swom to before me this day of July and that the foregoing transcript of my deposition taken to the errata sheets is day of July, 2007, that the said witness was first duly sworm to testify the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in the cause and witness was first duly sworm to testify the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in the cause and read witness was reported stenographically by me, in the presence of said witness, and afterwards reduced to the errata sheets is day of July of July of July of July of July of July sworm to testify that the side witness as aforesaid. Number of errata sheets submitted: Number of errata sheets submitted: Number of errata sheets submitted: Next RY SNYDER SUBSCRIBED and sworm to the errata sheets if any, attached hereto. Number of errata sheets submitted: Notary Public Notary Public The STATE OF ILLINOIS Notary Public STATE OF ILLINOIS Specified Shortands, a Notary Public within and for the County of Cook and State of Illinois, and a Certified Shortand Reporter, to-wit, on the 11th day of July, 2007, KERRY SNYDER Submits as every south LaSalle Street, in the City of Chicago, in the County of Cook and State o				
1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS 2 EASTERN DIVISION 3 JOSE ANDREU,) 4 Plaintiff,) 5 No. 07 C 0473			24	Reporter: Denise A. Andras, USR, RPR
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS 2 EASTERN DIVISION 3 JOSE ANDREU, 4 Plaintiff, 5 No. 07 C 0473 6 UNITED PARCEL SERVICE, INC.) 5 Defendant. 7 Defendant. 9 This is to certify that I have read the transcript of my deposition taken in the above-entitled cause by Denise A. Andras, certified 10 transcript of my deposition taken in the above-entitled cause by Denise A. Andras, certified 12 Shorthand Reporter, on the 11th day of July, 2007, and that the foregoing transcript accurately states the the theoregoing transcript accurately states the the theoregoing transcript accurately states at the duestions asked and the answers given by me, at the changes made on the errata sheets, if any, at the order of the truth and nothing but the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in the cause aforesaid; that the testimony then given by said witness was reported stenographically by me, in the presence of said witness, and afterwards reduced to SUBSCRIBED and sworn to before me this day of me, 2007. 12 Notary Public 12 Notary Public 13 I, Denise A. Andras, a Notary Public within and for the County of Cook and State of Illinois, and a Certified Shorthand Reporter of said state, do hereby certify that heretofore, to-wit, on the 11th day of July, 2007, KERRY SNYDER personally appeared before me at 29 South LaSalle Street, in the City of Chicago, in the County of Cook and State of Illinois, a witness in a certain cause now pending and undetermined, wherein Jose Andreu is the Plaintiff and UPS is the Defendant. 12 If further certify that the said witness was first duly sworn to testify the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in the cause aforesaid; that the testimony then given by said witness, and afterwards reduced to SUBSCRIBED and sworn to the presence of said witness, and afterwards reduced to SUBSCRIBED and sworn to the testimony so given by said witness as aforesaid. 12 I further certify that the signature of the witness to the foregoing deposition was not waived		Page 275		Page 277
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION JOSE ANDREU, Plaintiff, Plaintiff, No. 07 C 0473 UNITED PARCEL SERVICE, INC.) Toefendant. Defendant. Defendant. This is to certify that I have read the transcript of my deposition taken in the above-entitled cause by Denise A. Andras, Certified Shorthand Reporter, on the 11th day of July, 2007, and that the foregoing transcript accurately states the questions asked and the answers given by me, with the changes made on the errata sheets, if any, attached the date hereto. KERRY SNYDER SUBSCRIBED and swom to SUBSCRIBED and swom to EASTERN DIVISION 2 COUNTY OF C O O K) 3 I, Denise A. Andras, a Notary Public within 4 and for the County of Cook and State of Illinois, and a Certified Shorthand Reporter, to-wit, on the 11th day of July, 2007, KERRY SNYDER personally appeared before me at 29 South LaSalle Street, in the City of Chicago, in the County of Cook and State of 10 Illinois, a witness in a certain cause now pending and undetermined, wherein Jose Andreu is the Plaintiff and UPS is the Defendant. 11 I further certify that the said witness was first duly sworm to testify the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in the cause aforesaid; that the testimony then given by said witness was reported stenographically by me, in the presence of said witness, and afterwards reduced to SUBSCRIBED and sworm to before me this day of the testimony so given by said witness as aforesaid. 12 Notary Public 21 Notary Public 22 Notary Public 3 I further certify that the signature of the witness to the foregoing deposition was not waived	1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	1	STATE OF ILLINOIS)
JOSE ANDREU, Plaintiff, Plaintiff	-			•
JOSE ANDREU, Plaintiff, Plaintiff, No. 07 C 0473 UNITED PARCEL SERVICE, INC.) This is to certify that I have read the transcript of my deposition taken in the above-entitled cause by Denise A. Andras, Certified above-entitled cause by Denise A. Andras, Certified above-entitled cause by Denise A. Andras, Certified and undetermined, wherein Jose Andreu is the dequestions asked and the answers given by me, with the changes made on the errata sheets, if any, attached hereto. Number of errata sheets submitted: SUBSCRIBED and swom to before me this day of		EASTERN DIVISION	2	
Plaintiff,)		JOSE ANDREU,)	3	•
5 and a Certified Shorthand Reporter of said state, do 6 ys.) No. 07 C 0473	4) Plaintiff)	4	the contract of the contract o
vs.) No. 07 C 0473 6 UNITED PARCEL SERVICE, INC.) 7 Defendant.) 8 before me at 29 South LaSalle Street, in the City of 9 Chicago, in the County of Cook and State of 9 This is to certify that I have read the 10 transcript of my deposition taken in the 11 above-entitled cause by Denise A. Andras, Certified 12 Shorthand Reporter, on the 11th day of July, 2007, 13 and that the foregoing transcript accurately states 14 the questions asked and the answers given by me, with the changes made on the errata sheets, if any, 6 tatached hereto. 17 Number of errata sheets submitted: 18 VERRY SNYDER SUBSCRIBED and swom to 19 EVERY SNYDER SUBSCRIBED and swom to 10 Illinois, a witness in a certain cause now pending 11 and undetermined, wherein Jose Andreu is the 12 Plaintiff and UPS is the Defendant. 13 I further certify that the said witness was 14 the changes made on the errata sheets, if any, 15 truth and nothing but the truth, the whole 16 aforesaid; that the testimony then given by said 17 witness was reported stenographically by me, in the 18 presence of said witness, and afterwards reduced to 19 typewriting by Computer-Aided Transcription, and the 20 before me this	5)	5	
UNITED PARCEL SERVICE, INC.) 7	,	vs.) No. 07 C 0473	6	
Defendant.) Before me at 29 South LaSalle Street, in the City of Chicago, in the County of Cook and State of Chicago, in the County of Cook and State of Chicago, in the County of Cook and State of Chicago, in the County of Cook and State of Chicago, in the County of Cook and State of Chicago, in the County of Cook and State of Chicago, in the County of Cook and State of Chicago, in the County of Cook and State of Chicago, in the County of Cook and State of Chicago, in the County of Cook and State of Chicago, in the County of Cook and State of Chicago, in the County of Cook and State of Chicago, in the County of Cook and State of Illinois, a witness in a certain cause now pending and undetermined, wherein Jose Andreu is the And undetermined, wherein Jose Andreu is the Plaintiff and UPS is the Defendant. I further certify that the said witness was First duly sworn to testify the truth, the whole Truth and nothing but the truth in the cause Truth and n	ь	UNITED PARCEL SERVICE, INC.)		
Defendant.) 8 9 Chicago, in the County of Cook and State of 9 This is to certify that I have read the 10 Illinois, a witness in a certain cause now pending 11 and undetermined, wherein Jose Andreu is the 12 Shorthand Reporter, on the I I th day of July, 2007, 13 and that the foregoing transcript accurately states 14 the questions asked and the answers given by me, 15 with the changes made on the errata sheets, if any, 16 attached hereto. 17 Number of errata sheets submitted: 18 19 KERRY SNYDER 19 KERRY SNYDER 19 SUBSCRIBED and sworn to 20 before me this day of, 2007. 21 Notary Public 22 Notary Public 23 P Chicago, in the County of Cook and State of 10 Illinois, a witness in a certain cause now pending 11 and undetermined, wherein Jose Andreu is the 21 If urther certify that the said witness was 21 If urther certify that the said witness was 22 If urther certify that the testimony then given by said 23 witness was reported stenographically by me, in the 24 truth and nothing but the truth in the cause 25 foregoing is a true and correct transcription, and the 26 truth and nothing but the truth in the cause 27 If urther certify that the signature of the 28 truth and nothing but the truth in the cause 29 foregoing is a true and correct transcription, and the 20 foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the 21 testimony so given by said witness as aforesaid. 22 If urther certify that the signature of the 28 witness to the foregoing deposition was not waived	7)	8	
This is to certify that I have read the transcript of my deposition taken in the above-entitled cause by Denise A. Andras, Certified Shorthand Reporter, on the I1th day of July, 2007, and that the foregoing transcript accurately states the questions asked and the answers given by me, with the changes made on the errata sheets, if any, attached hereto. Number of errata sheets submitted: Number of errata sheets submitted: Number of errata sheets submitted: SUBSCRIBED and swom to before me this day of, 2007. Notary Public Notary	ρ	Defendant,)	9	
transcript of my deposition taken in the above-entitled cause by Denise A. Andras, Certified Shorthand Reporter, on the 11th day of July, 2007, and that the foregoing transcript accurately states the questions asked and the answers given by me, with the changes made on the errata sheets, if any, attached hereto. Number of errata sheets submitted: Number of errata sheets submitted: 16 17 18 19 KERRY SNYDER 18 19 SUBSCRIBED and swom to Defore me this day of 2007. 2007. 2007. 2007. 21 Notary Public 10 Notary Public 11 and undetermined, wherein Jose Andreu is the 12 Plaintiff and UPS is the Defendant. 13 I further certify that the said witness was 14 first duly sworn to testify the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in the cause aforesaid; that the testimony then given by said 17 witness was reported stenographically by me, in the presence of said witness, and afterwards reduced to 19 typewriting by Computer-Aided Transcription, and the 20 foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the 21 testimony so given by said witness as aforesaid. 22 I further certify that the signature of the witness to the foregoing deposition was not waived			10	- ·
Shorthand Reporter, on the 11th day of July, 2007, and that the foregoing transcript accurately states the questions asked and the answers given by me, with the changes made on the errata sheets, if any, attached hereto. Number of errata sheets submitted: 13			11	
13 I further certify that the said witness was 14 the questions asked and the answers given by me, 15 with the changes made on the errata sheets, if any, 16 attached hereto. 17 Number of errata sheets submitted: 18			12	Plaintiff and UPS is the Defendant.
the questions asked and the answers given by me, with the changes made on the errata sheets, if any, attached hereto. Number of errata sheets submitted: Number of errata sheets, if any, 15 truth and nothing but the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in the cause aforesaid; that the testimony then given by said vitness was reported stenographically by me, in the 18 presence of said witness, and afterwards reduced to 19 typewriting by Computer-Aided Transcription, and the 10 foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the 11 further certify that the signature of the 12 Votary Public Notary Public Notary Public Notary Public		and that the foregoing transcript accurately states	13	I further certify that the said witness was
truth and nothing but the truth in the cause aforesaid; that the testimony then given by said witness was reported stenographically by me, in the kerry snyder subscribed and swom to before me this		the questions asked and the answers given by me,	14	
17 Number of errata sheets submitted: 18 aforesaid; that the testimony then given by said 19 witness was reported stenographically by me, in the 19 KERRY SNYDER SUBSCRIBED and swom to 20 before me this				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
17 witness was reported stenographically by me, in the 19 KERRY SNYDER 18 presence of said witness, and afterwards reduced to SUBSCRIBED and swom to 20 before me this day of 2007. 21 certify that the signature of the 22 Notary Public 23 witness was reported stenographically by me, in the 24 presence of said witness, and afterwards reduced to 25 typewriting by Computer-Aided Transcription, and the 26 foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the 27 testimony so given by said witness as aforesaid. 28 I further certify that the signature of the 29 witness to the foregoing deposition was not waived		to provide the control of the contro		
19 KERRY SNYDER SUBSCRIBED and swom to 20 before me this day of , 2007. 21 Potary Public Notary Public 18 presence of said witness, and afterwards reduced to typewriting by Computer-Aided Transcription, and the total foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the testimony so given by said witness as aforesaid. 22 I further certify that the signature of the witness to the foregoing deposition was not waived	18	_ 		
SUBSCRIBED and swom to before me this day of 2007. Notary Public 23 typewriting by Computer-Aided Transcription, and the 20 foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the 21 testimony so given by said witness as aforesaid. 22 I further certify that the signature of the 23 witness to the foregoing deposition was not waived	1,9	KERRY SNYDER		
20 foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the 21 testimony so given by said witness as aforesaid. 22 Notary Public 23 Witness to the foregoing deposition was not waived		SUBSCRIBED and swom to		
21 testimony so given by said witness as aforesaid. 22 I further certify that the signature of the 23 witness to the foregoing deposition was not waived	2.0		20	
Notary Public 22 I further certify that the signature of the 23 witness to the foregoing deposition was not waived	21		21	
23 witness to the foregoing deposition was not waived	າກ	Notana Dukko	22	
		Notary Equite	23	
		·	~ .	

	Page 278	
1 2 3 4 5	and that I am not counsel for nor in any way related to any of the parties to this suit nor am I in any way interested in the outcome thereof. In witness whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my notarial seal this day of	
6 7 8 9	, 2007.	
10 11 12	Notary Public, Cook County, Illinois C.S.R. License No. 084-003437	
13 14 15 16		
17 18 19 20 21		
21 22 23 24		

71 (Page 278)

To: Dave Ziltz From: Cheryl Bast

RE: Jose Andreu

We asked Jose Andreu to make a pick up at Bernina. He called at 16:00 to ask if we wanted him to break off of his route to go get it. I told him yes. He then stated that he had 60 stops left and he would not be done until 9:00pm. I told him that if he were to do 20 stops an hour that he should be done by 7. He said he was 20 minutes from Bernina and this would take up quite a bit of time.

At 16:42 I received a call from Dave Ziltz he had just met Jose at Bernina. Dave counted the stops in his truck and he only had 20 stops left.

EXHIBIT

Souder #4

7/11/07 DA

ZILTZ DEPOSITION EXCERPTS

Page 1

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

JOSE ANDREU,)			
Plaintiff,)))			
-vs-	ý	No.	07 C 0	473
UNITED PARCEL SERVICE, INC.,)			
Defendant.)			

The deposition of DAVID ZILTZ, called by the Plaintiff, for examination, taken pursuant to notice and pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure for the United States District Courts pertaining to the taking of depositions, taken before Tamara Manganiello, Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public, at Suite 850, 29 South LaSalle Street, Chicago, Illinois, on the 26th day of July, A.D., 2007, commencing at 11:04 a.m.

1	Decement 1	_	
	Page 46		Page 48
1	Q. Did you have any issues at all with	1	he notifies the center?
2	Mr. Andreu when he was a driver in the Aurora	2	A. Yes.
3	center? And we'll leave out the events of February	3	Q. You get notified by the center?
4	9th here for a second.	4	A. Yes.
5	A. No.	5	Q. And then you go to his truck?
6	Q. Okay. You're aware that he got hurt	6	A. Yes.
7	January 24th, 2005?	7	Q. And what happens when you get to his
-8	A. Yes.	8	truck?
9	Q. He claimed he got hurt while he was	9	A. He shows me I asked him what
10	out on his route, right?	10	happened and he showed me how a package had fallen
11	A. Yes.	11	out and he tried to stop it and he showed me that.
12	Q. Okay. Did you have any involvement in	12	 Q. Did he demonstrate the package
13	reporting the injury or involvement in training	13	falling?
14	Mr. Andreu after the injury?	14	A. Yeah, he demonstrated. Not exactly
15	A. The involvement I had is I responded	15	falling, but how it happened.
16	to the injury, the investigation.	16	Q. What did he say to you?
17	Q. Okay. So you were involved in some	17	A. He said it was sliding out. The truck
18	sort of investigation?	18	was full, it was sliding out and he put his hands up
19	A. Correct.	19	to stop it.
20	Q. Was this the day of the injury, which,	20	Q. Was anybody else present?
21	again, was January 24th, '05, or sometime	21	A. No.
22	thereafter?	22	Q. Where was this at?
23	A. Yes. On the 24th.	23	A. I believe it was at Meijers on Route
24	Q. So on the 24th you were involved in an	24	59.
ļ	Page 47		Page 49
	<u>-</u>	} ! 1	Q. Okay. And what did you say to him?
1	investigation into the injury? A. Yes.	2	Q. Okay. And what did you say to him? A. I don't recall.
2		3	
3	Q. What did you do on the 24th to		Q. Did you indicate at all that you had any doubts about the injury?
4	investigate Mr. Andreu's injury?	4	
5	A. I was available, so I went to where he	5	in the second of
6	was and had him show me what happened.	6	Q. What did you say?
7	Q. How did you become aware that he had	7	A. I don't know.
8	an injury?	8	Q. Do you have a specific recollection of
9	A. He called the office.	9	anything else said?
10	Q. Was there any delay between the injury	10	A. No.
11	and the time he called?	11	Q. Okay. Do you have a general
12	A. I don't recall.	12	recollection of anything else said in this
13	Q. Do you know what time he was injured	13	conversation?
14	during the day?	14	A. Well, I had doubts.
1.5	A. It was morning.	15	Q. Okay. When did you first have doubts?
16	Q. Do you know what time you went you	16	A. When I first was told by the office
17	physically went out to his truck then?	17	that he was injured, that he was calling in that he
18	A. Yes.	18	hurt himself.
^	Q. Do you know what time you arrived at	19	Q. Okay. And why did you have doubts
19	his truck?	20	when the office first called you?
20			A loss was adoment that he did not want
20 21	A. No.	21	A. Jose was adamant that he did not want
20 21 22	A. No.Q. Was it morning? Was it afternoon?	22	to do this route this morning that morning.
20 21	A. No.		to do this route this morning that morning. Q. And that was the morning of the day he

Q. that right?

19 Ā. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

17

18

24

2

3

7

8

13

18

21

what?

20 O. Well, if it's 190 stops that he, in

fact, did that day, that's certainly well within the

average of that route, right? 22 23

Correct. A.

Is it on the high side of the average Q.

was Voice Stream back then. I'm not positive. They

Q. And what does she say?

19 She said to me that Jose cannot get A. 20

the pick-up or he claims he cannot get the pick-up, he has 60 stops left. And if he has to go to

Bernina, he won't be done and into the building

until 9:00 o'clock.

24 Q. What do you say?

Page 131

1

2

3

6

13

21

24

Page 133

of that route? 1

> A. Yeah. High side.

It's a full day, right? Q.

4 Yeah, it is a full day. A.

5 Okay. So you select Jose to go to O.

6 Bernina essentially, correct?

Right. A.

> That's your decision, correct? Q.

9 A. Correct.

10 Okay. And you communicate that Q.

decision to Cheryl Bast? 11

12 A. Yes.

> Okay. What else is said in that O.

14 conversation?

15 A. That was the end of the conversation.

Okay. Do you have any other 16 Q.

conversations with Cheryl after that? 17

> She called me back and --A.

19 Q. How much time elapsed between these

20 two calls?

> A. Ten minutes, 15 minutes.

22 Q. Okay. She calls you on the phone?

23 A. Yes.

Is this your cell phone? 24 Q.

At that point and seeing his truck earlier, I found that hard to believe. So I said send him to the pick-up now. I was on the route across the street. And she sent him there and I was

waiting out in front of the pick-up on the street. 5

> Q. So you had already gotten to

7 Bernina --

8 Yes. Α.

9 Q. -- by the time he shows up?

10 A. Oh. ves.

How much time elapses between your 11 O.

call with Cheryl and the time you meet Jose? 12

> Ten, 15 minutes max. A.

14 Q. Do you have any notes of this in terms

of the times of that day? 15 16

I do not. A.

Did you write them down at all that 17 Q.

18 day?

19 A. No.

20 Have you ever written them down? Q.

A.

22 Q. So your ten to 15 minutes is your best

estimate as you sit here today? 23

> A. Yes.

> > 34 (Pages 130 to 133)

-		OddC 1.07 CV 00132 Document 1	,	Tiled 01/01/2000 Tage 23 01 03
		Page 134		Page 136
1	Q.	Same with the time that elapsed	1	point, if I mentioned dishonesty to him, but very
2		calls from Cheryl?	2	well could have. It was a dishonest act. It's in
3	A.	Yes.	3	the contract. I don't know. I don't recall words.
4	Q.	That's just your best estimate today?	4	And at that point I told him to make the pick-up,
5	A.	Best estimate based on our commitment	5	finish his work and get back into the building and
6	to that cr	ustomer and what time we had to be there.	6	went on.
7	Q.	But you have no other notes or	7	Q. Okay. Let's take those one at a time.
8	documer	nts, you didn't document the times of any of	8	So about 20 packages is what you counted?
9		ents this day, correct?	9	A. Yes.
10	A.	No.	10	Q. Could have been a little more? Could
11	Q.	That's correct, right?	11	have been a little less actually?
12	Ã.	That's correct.	12	A. Yes.
13	Q.	Okay. So she calls you back, she	13	Q. Did you ask Jose at that time about
14	-	m sorry, I'm kind of going back a little	14	his you just heard from Cheryl this 60 package
15		at last telephone conversation with Cheryl	15	thing, right? You heard that through Cheryl Bast?
16		ose can't make the pick-up, 60 stops left.	16	A. Yes.
17		es that call end? What do you say to her?	17	Q. Jose never told you 60 packages
18	A.	I said send him there now.	18	A. No.
19	Q.	Okay.	19	Q correct? Okay.
20	Ă.	And she must have messaged him to send	20	So did you then ask Jose did
21	him ther		21	you say something about 60 packages to Jose?
22	Q.	And then you meet him there?	22	A. I said where are the 60 stops you told
23	A.	Yes.	23	Cheryl you had?
24	Q.	And what do you do when you meet him	24	Q. What does he say?
		Page 135		Page 137
1	there?		1	A. I don't recall.
2	. A.	I had him open up his bulkhead door,	2	Q. Did he ever do anything to acknowledge
3		the door behind the driver, and I counted	5 3	he, in fact, told her that?
4		ages in his car.	4	A. I don't recall.
5	Q.	You physically counted each and every	5	Q. So you don't know if he did or didn't?
6	package		6	A. Correct.
7	Α.	I counted like this (indicating). I	7	Q. Do you recall anything he says during
8		t the shelf and counted like that.	8	this time that you're out there at the truck?
9	Q.	Okay. So you didn't go through each	9	A. No.
10		, move it aside, one, two?	10	Q. Did you mention his accident or injury
11	A.	Did not.	11	on February 9th when you were out there at his
12	Q.	Your standing by the driver's seat?	12	truck?
13	A.	I went into the bulk area.	13	A. I don't recall.
14	Q.	What's the bulk area?	14	Q. Did you say anything along the lines
15	A.	Went into the back of the package car.	15	of you lied to me about the accident and injury or
16	Q.	And you're counting with your finger?	16	back about the injury and now I don't believe you
17	A.	Yes.	17	with respect to this?
18	Q.	Okay. And what happens next?	18	A. No.
- 22	A.	1 U	19	Q. But you're not sure if you mentioned
19	Ince whe	ere the 60 packages were, the 60 stops. I	20	the injury at all?
19 20	JUSC WIIC		2.1	A. No. I wouldn't have.
		ny frustrations with him with everything	21	A. No. I wouldn't have.
20	shared m going on	in the area, a person getting hurt, a	22	Q. Well, why wouldn't you?
20 21	shared m going on			
20 21 22	shared m going on person n	in the area, a person getting hurt, a eeding help, we need to pitch together, this	22	Q. Well, why wouldn't you?

		Page 138		Page 140
1	got a pos	ssible situation where Mr. Andreu has	1	A. Phone conversation.
2		esented packages, correct?	2	Q. Okay. Right after you get out of
3	Ā.	Correct.	3	Mr. Andreu's truck?
4	Q.	You know that, right?	4	A. Yes.
5	Å.	Correct.	5	Q. Are you still on the site at Bernina?
6	Q.	You know you have doubts over an	6	A. I was out in front of Bernina.
7	accident	that he claims to have had, correct?	7	Q. And what was said and by who?
8	A.	I had doubts.	8	A. I would have told Kerry about the
9	Q.	You had doubts. At the time you were	9	situation, what transpired, what was said, that
10	counting	packages it's not only "I don't know if	10	there was not 60 stops in his car.
11	he's miss	represented packages", it's "I think he's	11	Q. Okay. What did he say?
12	misrepre	esented an accident that he had", correct?	12	A. I don't recall.
13	A.	No.	13	Q. Now, again, you're saying you would
14	Q.	Why not?	14	have told him?
15	A.	Because I was dealing with the	15	A. Yes.
16	situation	where he misrepresented the packages in	16	Q. Did you tell him?
17	the pack	age car.	17	A. I report, you know, to my immediate
18	Q.	I understand. But you're telling me	18	manager. I don't you know, I have to report to
19	nowhere	in your head is the fact that this is not	19	him what's going on because he makes the decisions.
20		w, two weeks ago this guy said he had an	20	You know, the immediate manager does all that.
21		and I kind of had doubts about that?	21	Q. I understand.
22	A.	No.	22	A. So I call Kerry, Kerry, this is what
23	Q.	You don't even think that?	23	happened, this is what's going on. I don't recall
24	À.	No.	24	
		Page 139		Page 141
1	Q.		1	Page 141
1 2		Okay. Do you mention the injuries or	1 2	Page 141 Q. Okay. But you are sure as you sit
				Q. Okay. But you are sure as you sit here that you had a telephone call to Kerry Snyder
2	faking in A.	Okay. Do you mention the injuries or juries or accident No.	2	Q. Okay. But you are sure as you sit here that you had a telephone call to Kerry Snyder after the incident with Mr. Andreu where you
2 3	faking in	Okay. Do you mention the injuries or juries or accident	3	Q. Okay. But you are sure as you sit here that you had a telephone call to Kerry Snyder
2 3 4	faking in A. Q. A.	Okay. Do you mention the injuries or juries or accident No at your time out at his truck?	2 3 4	Q. Okay. But you are sure as you sit here that you had a telephone call to Kerry Snyder after the incident with Mr. Andreu where you described the incident to him? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6	faking in A. Q. A. Q.	Okay. Do you mention the injuries or juries or accident No at your time out at his truck? No. You're sure?	2 3 4 5	Q. Okay. But you are sure as you sit here that you had a telephone call to Kerry Snyder after the incident with Mr. Andreu where you described the incident to him? A. Yes. Q. Correct?
2 3 4 5	faking in A. Q. A. Q. A.	Okay. Do you mention the injuries or juries or accident No at your time out at his truck? No. You're sure? Positive.	2 3 4 5	Q. Okay. But you are sure as you sit here that you had a telephone call to Kerry Snyder after the incident with Mr. Andreu where you described the incident to him? A. Yes. Q. Correct? A. Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7	faking in A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Okay. Do you mention the injuries or juries or accident No at your time out at his truck? No. You're sure? Positive. What else is said at the time on his	2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. Okay. But you are sure as you sit here that you had a telephone call to Kerry Snyder after the incident with Mr. Andreu where you described the incident to him? A. Yes. Q. Correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. Did you have any further
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	faking in A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Okay. Do you mention the injuries or juries or accident No at your time out at his truck? No. You're sure? Positive. What else is said at the time on his February 9th?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. Okay. But you are sure as you sit here that you had a telephone call to Kerry Snyder after the incident with Mr. Andreu where you described the incident to him? A. Yes. Q. Correct? A. Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	faking in A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. truck on A.	Okay. Do you mention the injuries or juries or accident No at your time out at his truck? No. You're sure? Positive. What else is said at the time on his	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. Okay. But you are sure as you sit here that you had a telephone call to Kerry Snyder after the incident with Mr. Andreu where you described the incident to him? A. Yes. Q. Correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. Did you have any further conversations with Kerry Snyder that day,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	faking in A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. truck on A.	Okay. Do you mention the injuries or juries or accident No at your time out at his truck? No. You're sure? Positive. What else is said at the time on his February 9th? I just told him to make the pick-up, swork and go in.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. Okay. But you are sure as you sit here that you had a telephone call to Kerry Snyder after the incident with Mr. Andreu where you described the incident to him? A. Yes. Q. Correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. Did you have any further conversations with Kerry Snyder that day, February 9th? A. I don't recall.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	faking in A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. truck on A. finish his	Okay. Do you mention the injuries or juries or accident No at your time out at his truck? No. You're sure? Positive. What else is said at the time on his February 9th? I just told him to make the pick-up, swork and go in. Do you have any further conversations	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. Okay. But you are sure as you sit here that you had a telephone call to Kerry Snyder after the incident with Mr. Andreu where you described the incident to him? A. Yes. Q. Correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. Did you have any further conversations with Kerry Snyder that day, February 9th? A. I don't recall. Q. Did you talk to him were you at the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	faking in A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. truck on A. finish his	Okay. Do you mention the injuries or juries or accident No at your time out at his truck? No. You're sure? Positive. What else is said at the time on his February 9th? I just told him to make the pick-up, swork and go in. Do you have any further conversations	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. Okay. But you are sure as you sit here that you had a telephone call to Kerry Snyder after the incident with Mr. Andreu where you described the incident to him? A. Yes. Q. Correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. Did you have any further conversations with Kerry Snyder that day, February 9th? A. I don't recall.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	faking in A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. truck on A. finish his Q strike t	Okay. Do you mention the injuries or juries or accident No at your time out at his truck? No. You're sure? Positive. What else is said at the time on his February 9th? I just told him to make the pick-up, work and go in. Do you have any further conversations hat. Do you have any conversations with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. Okay. But you are sure as you sit here that you had a telephone call to Kerry Snyder after the incident with Mr. Andreu where you described the incident to him? A. Yes. Q. Correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. Did you have any further conversations with Kerry Snyder that day, February 9th? A. I don't recall. Q. Did you talk to him were you at the meeting on February 10th when Mr. Andreu was put on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	faking in A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. truck on A. finish his Q strike t	Okay. Do you mention the injuries or juries or accident No at your time out at his truck? No. You're sure? Positive. What else is said at the time on his February 9th? I just told him to make the pick-up, s work and go in. Do you have any further conversations that. Do you have any conversations with yder on February 9th about the Jose Andreu	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. Okay. But you are sure as you sit here that you had a telephone call to Kerry Snyder after the incident with Mr. Andreu where you described the incident to him? A. Yes. Q. Correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. Did you have any further conversations with Kerry Snyder that day, February 9th? A. I don't recall. Q. Did you talk to him were you at the meeting on February 10th when Mr. Andreu was put on notice of termination? A. I don't recall.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	faking in A. Q. A. Q. truck on A. finish his Q strike t	Okay. Do you mention the injuries or juries or accident No at your time out at his truck? No. You're sure? Positive. What else is said at the time on his February 9th? I just told him to make the pick-up, s work and go in. Do you have any further conversations that. Do you have any conversations with yder on February 9th about the Jose Andreu	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. Okay. But you are sure as you sit here that you had a telephone call to Kerry Snyder after the incident with Mr. Andreu where you described the incident to him? A. Yes. Q. Correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. Did you have any further conversations with Kerry Snyder that day, February 9th? A. I don't recall. Q. Did you talk to him were you at the meeting on February 10th when Mr. Andreu was put on notice of termination? A. I don't recall.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	faking in A. Q. A. Q. truck on A. finish his Q strike t	Okay. Do you mention the injuries or juries or accident No at your time out at his truck? No. You're sure? Positive. What else is said at the time on his February 9th? I just told him to make the pick-up, work and go in. Do you have any further conversations hat. Do you have any conversations with yder on February 9th about the Jose Andreu?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. Okay. But you are sure as you sit here that you had a telephone call to Kerry Snyder after the incident with Mr. Andreu where you described the incident to him? A. Yes. Q. Correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. Did you have any further conversations with Kerry Snyder that day, February 9th? A. I don't recall. Q. Did you talk to him were you at the meeting on February 10th when Mr. Andreu was put on notice of termination? A. I don't recall. Q. You don't know if you were there or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	faking in A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. truck on A. finish his Q strike t Kerry Sn situation A.	Okay. Do you mention the injuries or juries or accident No at your time out at his truck? No. You're sure? Positive. What else is said at the time on his February 9th? I just told him to make the pick-up, work and go in. Do you have any further conversations that. Do you have any conversations with yder on February 9th about the Jose Andreu? I'm sure I did.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. Okay. But you are sure as you sit here that you had a telephone call to Kerry Snyder after the incident with Mr. Andreu where you described the incident to him? A. Yes. Q. Correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. Did you have any further conversations with Kerry Snyder that day, February 9th? A. I don't recall. Q. Did you talk to him were you at the meeting on February 10th when Mr. Andreu was put on notice of termination? A. I don't recall. Q. You don't know if you were there or not?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	faking in A. Q. A. Q. truck on A. finish his Q strike t Kerry Sn situation A. Q. A.	Okay. Do you mention the injuries or juries or accident No at your time out at his truck? No. You're sure? Positive. What else is said at the time on his February 9th? I just told him to make the pick-up, swork and go in. Do you have any further conversations that. Do you have any conversations with yder on February 9th about the Jose Andreu? I'm sure I did. Do you recall any?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Okay. But you are sure as you sit here that you had a telephone call to Kerry Snyder after the incident with Mr. Andreu where you described the incident to him? A. Yes. Q. Correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. Did you have any further conversations with Kerry Snyder that day, February 9th? A. I don't recall. Q. Did you talk to him were you at the meeting on February 10th when Mr. Andreu was put on notice of termination? A. I don't recall. Q. You don't know if you were there or not? A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	faking in A. Q. A. Q. truck on A. finish his Q strike t Kerry Sn situation A. Q. A.	Okay. Do you mention the injuries or juries or accident No at your time out at his truck? No. You're sure? Positive. What else is said at the time on his February 9th? I just told him to make the pick-up, swork and go in. Do you have any further conversations that. Do you have any conversations with yder on February 9th about the Jose Andreu? I'm sure I did. Do you recall any? I probably called him right away and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Okay. But you are sure as you sit here that you had a telephone call to Kerry Snyder after the incident with Mr. Andreu where you described the incident to him? A. Yes. Q. Correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. Did you have any further conversations with Kerry Snyder that day, February 9th? A. I don't recall. Q. Did you talk to him were you at the meeting on February 10th when Mr. Andreu was put on notice of termination? A. I don't recall. Q. You don't know if you were there or not? A. No. Q. Did you know he was put on notice of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	faking in A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. truck on A. finish his Q strike t Kerry Sn situation A. Q. A. explained	Okay. Do you mention the injuries or juries or accident No at your time out at his truck? No. You're sure? Positive. What else is said at the time on his February 9th? I just told him to make the pick-up, work and go in. Do you have any further conversations hat. Do you have any conversations with yder on February 9th about the Jose Andreu? I'm sure I did. Do you recall any? I probably called him right away and it the situation to him.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. Okay. But you are sure as you sit here that you had a telephone call to Kerry Snyder after the incident with Mr. Andreu where you described the incident to him? A. Yes. Q. Correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. Did you have any further conversations with Kerry Snyder that day, February 9th? A. I don't recall. Q. Did you talk to him were you at the meeting on February 10th when Mr. Andreu was put on notice of termination? A. I don't recall. Q. You don't know if you were there or not? A. No. Q. Did you know he was put on notice of termination on February 10th?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	faking in A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. truck on A. finish his Q strike t Kerry Sn situation A. Q. A. explained Q.	Okay. Do you mention the injuries or juries or accident No at your time out at his truck? No. You're sure? Positive. What else is said at the time on his February 9th? I just told him to make the pick-up, work and go in. Do you have any further conversations hat. Do you have any conversations with yder on February 9th about the Jose Andreu? I'm sure I did. Do you recall any? I probably called him right away and the situation to him. You don't know if you did?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Okay. But you are sure as you sit here that you had a telephone call to Kerry Snyder after the incident with Mr. Andreu where you described the incident to him? A. Yes. Q. Correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. Did you have any further conversations with Kerry Snyder that day, February 9th? A. I don't recall. Q. Did you talk to him were you at the meeting on February 10th when Mr. Andreu was put on notice of termination? A. I don't recall. Q. You don't know if you were there or not? A. No. Q. Did you know he was put on notice of termination on February 10th? A. Yes.

			-,	
		Page 19	8	Page 200
1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		! 1	STATE OF ILLINOIS)
2	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION		-) SS.
3	JOSE ANDREU,)		2	COUNTY OF WILL)
4	Plaintiff,)		3	dodn'i dr Willia y
5)		4	I, Tamara Manganiello, a notary public
"	-vs-) No. 07 C 0473		5	within and for the County of Will and State of
6	UNITED PARCEL SERVICE, INC.,)		6	Illinois, do hereby certify that heretofore, to-wit,
7	Defendant)		. 7	on the 26th day of July, A.D., 2007, personally
-8	I hereby certify that I have read		. 8	appeared before me at Suite 850, 29 South LaSalle
9	the foregoing transcript of my deposition given on		: 9	Street, in the City of Chicago, County of Cook and
10	July 26th, 2007, at the time and place aforesaid, consisting of Pages 1 through 197, inclusive, and I		. 10	State of Illinois, DAVID ZILTZ, a witness, called by
	do again subscribe and make an oath that the same is		11	the Plaintiff in a certain cause now pending and
11	a true, correct and complete transcript of my deposition so given as aforesaid.		12	undetermined, wherein JOSE ANDREU is the plaintiff
12	•		13	and UNITED PARCEL SERVICE, INC., is the defendant.
13	please check one:		14	I further certify that the said witness,
	I have submitted errata sheet(s)		15	DAVID ZILTZ, was by me first duly sworn to testify
14	No corrections were noted		116	the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth
	CALUD THE TWO		17	in the cause aforesaid; that the testimony then
16	DAVID ZILTZ		18	
18	ALIDAGNIPUS AND ANIGONESIS		19	given by him was by me reduced to writing by means
1.9	SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO before me this day		1 .	of shorthand in the presence of said witness and
20	of, A.D., 2007.		20	afterwards transcribed upon a computer, and the
20			21	foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the
	Notary Public		22	testimony so given by him as aforesaid.
22 23			23	I further certify that the reading and
24				
			24	signing of said deposition was reserved by the
		Page 19	_ 	Page 201
1	WITNESS ERRATA SHEET Page#1	Page 19	9	Page 201
	WITNESS ERRATA SHEET Page#1	Page 19	9 1	Page 201 witness.
1	WITNESS ERRATA SHEET Page #1 JOSE ANDREU,)	Page 19	9 1 2	Page 201 witness. I further certify that the taking of the
1 2	•	Page 19	9 1 2 3	Page 201 witness. I further certify that the taking of the deposition was pursuant to notice, and that there
1 2 3	JOSE ANDREU,)	Page 19	9 1 2 3 4	Page 201 witness. I further certify that the taking of the deposition was pursuant to notice, and that there were present at the taking of the deposition the
1 2	JOSE ANDREU,) Plaintiff,) -vs-) No. 07 C 0473	Page 19	9 1 2 3 4 5	Page 201 witness. I further certify that the taking of the deposition was pursuant to notice, and that there were present at the taking of the deposition the aforementioned parties.
1 2 3	JOSE ANDREU, Plaintiff,) -vs-) No. 07 C 0473) UNITED PARCEL SERVICE, INC.,)	Page 19	9 1 2 3 4 5	witness. I further certify that the taking of the deposition was pursuant to notice, and that there were present at the taking of the deposition the aforementioned parties. I further certify that I am not counsel
1 2 3 4	JOSE ANDREU, Plaintiff,) -vs-) No. 07 C 0473 UNITED PARCEL SERVICE, INC.,) Defendant.)	Page 19	9 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	witness. I further certify that the taking of the deposition was pursuant to notice, and that there were present at the taking of the deposition the aforementioned parties. I further certify that I am not counsel for nor in any way related to any of the parties to
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	JOSE ANDREU, Plaintiff,) -vs-) No. 07 C 0473 UNITED PARCEL SERVICE, INC.,) Defendant.) I wish to make the following changes for the	Page 19	9 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	witness. I further certify that the taking of the deposition was pursuant to notice, and that there were present at the taking of the deposition the aforementioned parties. I further certify that I am not counsel for nor in any way related to any of the parties to this suit, nor am I in any way interested in the
1 2 3 4 5	JOSE ANDREU, Plaintiff,) -vs-) No. 07 C 0473 UNITED PARCEL SERVICE, INC.,) Defendant.) I wish to make the following changes for the following reasons: Page Line	Page 19	9 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	witness. I further certify that the taking of the deposition was pursuant to notice, and that there were present at the taking of the deposition the aforementioned parties. I further certify that I am not counsel for nor in any way related to any of the parties to this suit, nor am I in any way interested in the outcome thereof.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	JOSE ANDREU, Plaintiff,) -vs-) No. 07 C 0473 UNITED PARCEL SERVICE, INC.,) Defendant.) I wish to make the following changes for the following reasons: Page Line Change:	Page 19	9 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	witness. I further certify that the taking of the deposition was pursuant to notice, and that there were present at the taking of the deposition the aforementioned parties. I further certify that I am not counsel for nor in any way related to any of the parties to this suit, nor am I in any way interested in the outcome thereof. In testimony whereof I have hereunto set
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	JOSE ANDREU, Plaintiff,) -vs-) No. 07 C 0473 UNITED PARCEL SERVICE, INC.,) Defendant.) I wish to make the following changes for the following reasons: Page Line Change: Reason: Change:	Page 19	9 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	witness. I further certify that the taking of the deposition was pursuant to notice, and that there were present at the taking of the deposition the aforementioned parties. I further certify that I am not counsel for nor in any way related to any of the parties to this suit, nor am I in any way interested in the outcome thereof. In testimony whereof I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my notarial seal this 21st of
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	JOSE ANDREU, Plaintiff, No. 07 C 0473 UNITED PARCEL SERVICE, INC., Defendant. I wish to make the following changes for the following reasons: Page Line Change: Reason:	Page 19	9 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	witness. I further certify that the taking of the deposition was pursuant to notice, and that there were present at the taking of the deposition the aforementioned parties. I further certify that I am not counsel for nor in any way related to any of the parties to this suit, nor am I in any way interested in the outcome thereof. In testimony whereof I have hereunto set
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	JOSE ANDREU, Plaintiff,) -vs-) No. 07 C 0473 UNITED PARCEL SERVICE, INC.,) Defendant.) I wish to make the following changes for the following reasons: Page Line	Page 19	9 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	witness. I further certify that the taking of the deposition was pursuant to notice, and that there were present at the taking of the deposition the aforementioned parties. I further certify that I am not counsel for nor in any way related to any of the parties to this suit, nor am I in any way interested in the outcome thereof. In testimony whereof I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my notarial seal this 21st of
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	JOSE ANDREU, Plaintiff, No. 07 C 0473 UNITED PARCEL SERVICE, INC., Defendant. I wish to make the following changes for the following reasons: Page Line Change: Reason: Change: Reason: Change: Reason: Reason:	Page 19	9 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	witness. I further certify that the taking of the deposition was pursuant to notice, and that there were present at the taking of the deposition the aforementioned parties. I further certify that I am not counsel for nor in any way related to any of the parties to this suit, nor am I in any way interested in the outcome thereof. In testimony whereof I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my notarial seal this 21st of August, A.D., 2007.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	JOSE ANDREU, Plaintiff,) -vs-) No. 07 C 0473 UNITED PARCEL SERVICE, INC.,) Defendant.) I wish to make the following changes for the following reasons: Page Line	Page 19	9 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	witness. I further certify that the taking of the deposition was pursuant to notice, and that there were present at the taking of the deposition the aforementioned parties. I further certify that I am not counsel for nor in any way related to any of the parties to this suit, nor am I in any way interested in the outcome thereof. In testimony whereof I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my notarial seal this 21st of August, A.D., 2007. TAMARA MANGANIELLO, RPR
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	JOSE ANDREU, Plaintiff,) -vs-) No. 07 C 0473 UNITED PARCEL SERVICE, INC.,) Defendant.) I wish to make the following changes for the following reasons: Page Line Change: Reason: Change: Reason: Change: Reason: Change: Reason: Change: Reason: Change: Reason: Change: Reason: Change: Reason: Change: Reason: Change: Reason:	Page 19	9 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	witness. I further certify that the taking of the deposition was pursuant to notice, and that there were present at the taking of the deposition the aforementioned parties. I further certify that I am not counsel for nor in any way related to any of the parties to this suit, nor am I in any way interested in the outcome thereof. In testimony whereof I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my notarial seal this 21st of August, A.D., 2007.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	JOSE ANDREU, Plaintiff,) -vs-) No. 07 C 0473 UNITED PARCEL SERVICE, INC.,) Defendant.) I wish to make the following changes for the following reasons: Page Line Change: Reason: Change: Reason: Change: Reason: Change: Reason: Change: Reason: Change: Reason: Change: Reason:	Page 19	9 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	witness. I further certify that the taking of the deposition was pursuant to notice, and that there were present at the taking of the deposition the aforementioned parties. I further certify that I am not counsel for nor in any way related to any of the parties to this suit, nor am I in any way interested in the outcome thereof. In testimony whereof I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my notarial seal this 21st of August, A.D., 2007. TAMARA MANGANIELLO, RPR
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	JOSE ANDREU, Plaintiff,) -vs-) No. 07 C 0473 UNITED PARCEL SERVICE, INC.,) Defendant.) I wish to make the following changes for the following reasons: Page Line Change: Reason:	Page 19	9 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	witness. I further certify that the taking of the deposition was pursuant to notice, and that there were present at the taking of the deposition the aforementioned parties. I further certify that I am not counsel for nor in any way related to any of the parties to this suit, nor am I in any way interested in the outcome thereof. In testimony whereof I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my notarial seal this 21st of August, A.D., 2007. TAMARA MANGANIELLO, RPR
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	JOSE ANDREU, Plaintiff, No. 07 C 0473 UNITED PARCEL SERVICE, INC., Defendant. I wish to make the following changes for the following reasons: Page Line Change: Reason: Reason: Change: Reason: Reason:	Page 19	9 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	witness. I further certify that the taking of the deposition was pursuant to notice, and that there were present at the taking of the deposition the aforementioned parties. I further certify that I am not counsel for nor in any way related to any of the parties to this suit, nor am I in any way interested in the outcome thereof. In testimony whereof I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my notarial seal this 21st of August, A.D., 2007. TAMARA MANGANIELLO, RPR
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	JOSE ANDREU, Plaintiff,) -vs-) No. 07 C 0473 UNITED PARCEL SERVICE, INC.,) Defendant.) I wish to make the following changes for the following reasons: Page Line Change: Reason:	Page 19	9 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	witness. I further certify that the taking of the deposition was pursuant to notice, and that there were present at the taking of the deposition the aforementioned parties. I further certify that I am not counsel for nor in any way related to any of the parties to this suit, nor am I in any way interested in the outcome thereof. In testimony whereof I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my notarial seal this 21st of August, A.D., 2007. TAMARA MANGANIELLO, RPR
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	JOSE ANDREU, Plaintiff,) -vs-) No. 07 C 0473 UNITED PARCEL SERVICE, INC.,) Defendant.) I wish to make the following changes for the following reasons: Page Line Change: Reason: Change:	Page 19	9 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	witness. I further certify that the taking of the deposition was pursuant to notice, and that there were present at the taking of the deposition the aforementioned parties. I further certify that I am not counsel for nor in any way related to any of the parties to this suit, nor am I in any way interested in the outcome thereof. In testimony whereof I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my notarial seal this 21st of August, A.D., 2007. TAMARA MANGANIELLO, RPR
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	JOSE ANDREU, Plaintiff, -vs-) No. 07 C 0473 UNITED PARCEL SERVICE, INC.,) Defendant.) I wish to make the following changes for the following reasons: Page Line Change: Reason:	Page 19	9 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	witness. I further certify that the taking of the deposition was pursuant to notice, and that there were present at the taking of the deposition the aforementioned parties. I further certify that I am not counsel for nor in any way related to any of the parties to this suit, nor am I in any way interested in the outcome thereof. In testimony whereof I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my notarial seal this 21st of August, A.D., 2007. TAMARA MANGANIELLO, RPR
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	JOSE ANDREU, Plaintiff,) -vs-) No. 07 C 0473 UNITED PARCEL SERVICE, INC.,) Defendant.) I wish to make the following changes for the following reasons: Page Line Change: Reason: Change: Reason: Change: Reason: Change: Reason: Change: Reason: Change: Reason: Change: Reason: Change: Reason: Change: Reason: Change: Reason: Change: Reason: Change: Reason: Change: Reason: Change: Reason: Change: Reason: Change: Reason:	Page 19	9 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	witness. I further certify that the taking of the deposition was pursuant to notice, and that there were present at the taking of the deposition the aforementioned parties. I further certify that I am not counsel for nor in any way related to any of the parties to this suit, nor am I in any way interested in the outcome thereof. In testimony whereof I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my notarial seal this 21st of August, A.D., 2007. TAMARA MANGANIELLO, RPR
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 101 1 2 134 15 167 18 190 21 223	JOSE ANDREU, Plaintiff, -vs-) No. 07 C 0473 UNITED PARCEL SERVICE, INC.,) Defendant.) I wish to make the following changes for the following reasons: Page Line Change: Reason:	Page 19	9 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	witness. I further certify that the taking of the deposition was pursuant to notice, and that there were present at the taking of the deposition the aforementioned parties. I further certify that I am not counsel for nor in any way related to any of the parties to this suit, nor am I in any way interested in the outcome thereof. In testimony whereof I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my notarial seal this 21st of August, A.D., 2007. TAMARA MANGANIELLO, RPR

51 (Pages 198 to 201)

NOTICE OF REMOVAL

)

& FILED

DOT 8 0 2007

OCT. 30, 2007 MICHAEL W. DOBBINS CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

TH

JOSE ANDREU,

Plaintiff,

07CV6132 JUDGE DER YEGHIAYAN MAG. JUDGE MASON

v.

UNITED PARCEL SERVICE, INC.,

Defendant.

NOTICE OF REMOVAL

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Sections 1332(a), 1441(a) and (b) and 1446, Defendant United Parcel Service ("UPS") hereby removes the subject action from the Circuit Court of the Eighteenth Judicial District, County of DuPage, Illinois, to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois, Eastern Division, on the following grounds:

- 1. Plaintiff Jose Andreu ("Andreu") served UPS's attorney of record with a copy of the Complaint via U.S. Mail on or about October 18, 2007. A copy of the Complaint is attached hereto as Exhibit A. Andreu filed the Complaint in the Circuit Court of the Eighteenth Judicial District, County of DuPage, Illinois on or about October 15, 2007. No other process, pleadings or orders have been served on UPS in this matter.
- Andreu's complaint alleges retaliatory discharge in violation of the Illinois
 Worker's Compensation Act, 820 ILCS 305/1 et seq.

¹ This matter was originally captioned Case No. 07 C 00473 in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Illinois and assigned to Judge Der-Yeghiayan and originally consisted of the ongoing Illinois state law worker's compensation retaliation matter and a dismissed federal claim brought pursuant to COBRA. On September 25, 2007, the Plaintiff sought leave to file an amended complaint without the COBRA claim and based on supplemental jurisdiction. On September 28, 2007, Judge Der-Yeghiayan declined to exercise supplemental jurisdiction over the remaining claim and dismissed the retaliation claim without prejudice. Plaintiff filed an agreed motion for reconsideration on October 1, 2007 stating that the matter should be retained on the basis of diversity jurisdiction. Judge Der-Yeghiayan denied the motion for reconsideration on October 10, 2007.

- 3. This Court has original jurisdiction in this civil action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a). UPS is entitled to remove this suit because of diversity of citizenship. Andreu is a citizen of the State of Illinois. (Complaint ¶ 2). UPS is an Ohio corporation (Complaint ¶ 3) with its home office in Atlanta, Georgia, therefore UPS is a citizen of Ohio and Georgia.
- 4. The amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000.00. Plaintiff is seeking lost wages in his suit. (Complaint p. 6). During the pendency of this matter before Judge Der-Yeghiayan (as referenced in footnote 1), Plaintiff served UPS with his Rule 26(a)(1) Initial Disclosures dated April 27, 2007. In his Initial Disclosures, Plaintiff stated he had lost and sought to recover approximately \$95,000.00 in lost wages. A true and accurate copy of Plaintiff's Rule 26(a)(1) Initial Disclosures is attached as Exhibit B.
- 5. Removal to this Court is proper because the Northern District of Illinois embraces the Circuit Court of the Eighteenth Judicial District, County of DuPage, where this action was filed.
- 6. Contemporaneous with the filing of this Notice of Removal, UPS has given the Circuit Court of the Eighteenth Judicial District, County of DuPage, Illinois written notice of same in the form attached to this Notice as Exhibit C.

WHEREFORE, Defendant United Parcel Service removes the subject action from the Circuit Court of the Eighteenth Judicial District, County of DuPage, Illinois to this United States District Court.

DATED: October 30, 2007

UNITED PARCEL SERVICE, INC.

Sy: N JEN Attach

John A. Klages, #06196781 D. Scott Watson, #06230488 Gary R. Clark, #06271092 Ellen M. Girard, #06276507 Quarles & Brady LLP 500 West Madison Street, Suite 3700 Chicago, IL 60661-2511 312/715-5000

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned, an attorney, hereby certifies that he caused a copy of the foregoing United Parcel Service's NOTICE OF REMOVAL to be served upon the below-listed counsel, by U.S. mail, properly addressed and prepaid, and deposited in the U.S. Mail at 500 W. Madison Street, Chicago, Illinois, before the hour of 5:00 p.m. this 30th day of October, 2007:

Timothy J. Coffey
The Coffey Law Office, P.C.
1403 East Forest Avenue
Wheaton, Illinois 60187
Email; tcofflaw@sbcglobal.net

D. Scott Watson

DEFENDANT UNITED PARCEL SERVICE'S MEMORANDUM OF CLARIFICATION OF UPS'S PRINCIPAL PLACE OF BUSINESS

.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

JOSE ANDREU,)
Plaintiff,)
v.) Case No. 07 C 06132
v.) Judge Der-Yeghiayan
UNITED PARCEL SERVICE, INC.,)
Defendant.)

DEFENDANT UNITED PARCEL SERVICE'S MEMORANDUM OF CLARIFICATION OF UPS'S PRINCIPAL PLACE OF BUSINESS

Defendant United Parcel Service ("UPS") submits this Memorandum of Clarification of UPS's Principal Place of Business requested by this Court and states as follows:

On or about October 30, 2007, UPS filed its Notice of Removal of this action from the Circuit Court of the Eighteenth Judicial District, County of DuPage, Illinois to this United States District Court. In paragraph 3 of its Notice of Removal, UPS referred to itself as "an Ohio corporation (Complaint ¶ 3) with its home office in Atlanta, Georgia, therefore UPS is a citizen of Ohio and Georgia."

In recognition of the requirements of diversity jurisdiction recognized by the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit, UPS should have further identified Atlanta, Georgia as its principal place of business and does so now.

WHEREFORE, Defendant United Parcel Service clarifies its previous filings by affirmatively stating that its principal place of business is Atlanta, Georgia.

DATED: December 6, 2007 UNITED PARCEL SERVICE, INC.

By: /s/ D. Scott Watson
One of Its Attorneys

John A. Klages, #06196781 D. Scott Watson, #06230488 Gary R. Clark, #06271092 Ellen M. Girard, #06276507 Quarles & Brady LLP 500 West Madison Street, Suite 3700 Chicago, IL 60661-2511 312/715-5000

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned attorney certifies that on December 6, 2007, a copy of the foregoing document was filed electronically. Notice of this filing will be sent to the following parties by operation of the Court's electronic filing system. Parties may access this filing through the Court's system.

Timothy J. Coffey
The Coffey Law Office, P.C.
1403 East Forest Avenue
Wheaton, Illinois 60187
Email: tcofflaw@sbcglobal.net

<u>/s/</u>	<u>D.</u>	Scott	Watson	